

**ILLINOIS DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH  
AHERA THREE YEAR REINSPECTION  
ASBESTOS PROGRAM  
SCHOOL INFORMATION FORM**

## **THREE-YEAR REINSPECTION**

Unit:  
Building ID:

IDPH ID Number:

### **REPORT DATE:**

**Prepared for:**  
**Chicago Public Schools**  
**42 W. Madison Street**  
**Chicago, IL 60602**

**Prepared by:**  
**Specialty Consulting, Inc.**

2942 W. Van Buren Street

Chicago, IL, 60612

Phone (312) 319-7575

Fax (312) 319-7580

**DO NOT REMOVE FROM SCHOOL  
REQUIRED BY FEDERAL LAW**

Mr. Eric Culbertson  
Asbestos Program  
Illinois Department of Public Health  
525 West Jefferson Street  
Springfield, Illinois 62761

Re: Chicago Public Schools - Three-Year Reinspections

Dear Mr. Culbertson:

Specialty Consulting, Inc., Managing Environmental Consultant (MEC), conducted the Three-Year Reinspections and performed management plan updates for the Chicago Public Schools (CPS) facilities in Region 1, 2 Elementary Schools. Please update your records with the following information.

School District: 299      Unit:      Region: 01      IDPH ID:

School:      Building ID:

Address:

Building Contact:      Contact Phone:

Current Building Owners: Chicago Public Schools

Reinspection Date:

Review Date:

Inspector:      Inspector IDPH License:

Management Planner:      Management Planner IDPH License:

If you have any questions or comments, please contact us at (312) 319-7575

Sincerely,  
Specialty Consulting, Inc.



## **Environmental Notification to Occupants**

To: Faculty, Staff and Parents  
From: Chicago Public Schools  
Date:

RE: , Unit

Dear Faculty, Staff and Parents,

This letter is to notify you that the asbestos three year re-inspection has been completed at , following the Federal Asbestos Hazard Emergency Response Act (AHERA), 40 CFR Part 763, Subpart E and is available for your review at the main office of the school.

Although asbestos-containing building materials have been identified at , there is no reason to believe that any threat to the health of students or staff exists at this time. CPS will continue to carefully monitor the condition of asbestos-containing building materials and if conditions warrant, all appropriate steps will be taken to maintain the health and safety of all building occupants.

If you have any questions regarding this matter or require additional information, please feel free to contact , the designated Local Education Authority's Designated Person at .

## **Table of Contents**

- I. School and Inspection Information
- II. Executive Summary
- III. Methodology
- IV. Abatement History
- V. Reassessments and Recommendations
- VI. Conclusions

Table I: Inspector's Reinspection Findings

Table II: Management Planner's Review

### APPENDICES:

- Appendix A: Assessment Sheets, Drawings and Photos
- Appendix B: Inspector and Management Planner Licenses
- Appendix C: Laboratory Accreditations
- Appendix D: Laboratory Results
- Appendix E: Chain of Custody Forms

## SCHOOL AND INSPECTION INFORMATION

### 1. School Information

School: \_\_\_\_\_ Unit: \_\_\_\_\_ Region: 01  
Address: \_\_\_\_\_  
IDPH ID: \_\_\_\_\_ Building ID: \_\_\_\_\_  
Contact: \_\_\_\_\_ Phone: \_\_\_\_\_

### 2. Description of Facility

Original Construction: \_\_\_\_\_ Additional Construction: \_\_\_\_\_  
Total Square Footage: \_\_\_\_\_ No of Floors: \_\_\_\_\_  
Current Occupancy: \_\_\_\_\_

### 3. LEA Designated Person

Contact: \_\_\_\_\_ Phone: \_\_\_\_\_  
Address: 42 West Madison Street  
Chicago, IL 60602

### 4. Managing Environmental Consultant

MEC: Specialty Consulting, Inc.  
Contact: Jigar Shah  
Address 2942 W. Van Buren Street  
Chicago, IL, 60612  
Phone: (312) 319-7575 Fax: (312) 319-7580

### 5. Inspector

Inspector Name: \_\_\_\_\_

  
Signature: \_\_\_\_\_  
Date: \_\_\_\_\_

### 6. Management Planner

Management Planner Name: \_\_\_\_\_

  
Signature: \_\_\_\_\_  
Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Management Planner IDPH license #: \_\_\_\_\_

### 7. Review Date:

### 8. LEA Designated Person's Acknowledgement

The reinspection report and recommendations have been received by me and appropriate action will be taken by the School District.

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_



Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Name: \_\_\_\_\_

Unit: \_\_\_\_\_

Building: \_\_\_\_\_

## **II. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

was retained by the Chicago Public Schools (CPS) to perform a three-year asbestos reinspection of the . This inspection was conducted in accordance with the United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) Asbestos Hazard Emergency Response Act (AHERA) part 763.85 (b), and the ongoing Operations and Maintenance Program (O&M) originally designed in the School's Asbestos Management Plan. The purpose of this three-year reinspection is to record any condition changes in the asbestos-containing building material (ACBM) in the school since the previous three-year reinspection and the six-month periodic surveillance, to identify, assess, and include any Homogeneous Areas (HA) not identified in the Management Plan, and to recommend an appropriate response action to manage asbestos.

The inspector conducted a three-year reinspection of this facility under Illinois Department of Public Health (IDPH) school reinspection requirements and AHERA, sections 763.85 and 763.88. The main building and each addition to the main building, if constructed at different dates, were inspected separately. Laboratory accreditations are included in Appendix C, laboratory results are included in Appendix D, and chain of custody forms are included in Appendix E.

Note: During previous inspections, some of the HA(s) were identified together as 9" x 9" floor tile (FT), mastics assoc. with 9" x 9" FT, 12" x 12" FT, mastics assoc. with 12" x 12" FT, pipe insulation, etc. Some of these HA(s) have been re-identified by areas that are uniform in color, texture, construction date, application date, and general appearance.

The inspector has determined the following:

A. The following HAs have changed assessment categories for Building :

B. The following new homogenous areas have been identified for Building :

C. This reinspection covered only physically accessible and visible areas and materials that were identified in the LEA's management plan. The following materials were concealed and/or contained in areas that were inaccessible for sampling and have been classified as Assumed:

The following areas were deemed to be inaccessible:

Materials were also listed as "assumed" if they were in good condition and sampling was not conducted to avoid damage.

This reinspection was conducted by , IDPH License # . The Management Plan was updated by , IDPH License # . Inspector and Management Planner Licenses are included in Appendix B.

### **III. METHODOLOGY**

Tasks performed on-site included the following:

1. Review current management plan, identify HA(s) and extract appropriate information.
2. Examine and verify abatement records.
3. Touch and visually and physically reinspect and reassess the condition of all friable known or assumed ACBM.
4. Touch and visually and physically reinspect all non-friable known or assumed ACBM to determine whether these materials have become friable since the last inspection or periodic surveillance.
5. Identify any condition changes that may affect Hazard ranking of known ACBM or Assumed ACBM, as well as any HA(s) that have become friable since the last reinspection.
6. Collect bulk samples from each newly discovered friable HA or previously assumed and submit for laboratory analysis.
7. Tabulate reinspection findings and submit for management planner review and recommendations with appropriate response actions based on the AHERA Damage Category of the ACBM.
8. Submit reinspection findings and management planner recommendations to the LEA within thirty (30) days for inclusion into the management plan.

### **IV. ABATEMENT HISTORY**

The information collected from the existing management plan and inspection report(s) and from interviews with the school official revealed that the following asbestos abatement was conducted at the school since the previous Three year Reinspection.

Abatement Dates:

### **V. REASSESSMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

The reassessments and recommendations are summarized in Tables I and II on the following pages. Detailed Hazard Assessment Sheets and Drawings and Photos (if applicable) are included in Appendix A.

### **VI. CONCLUSIONS**

The Building Three-Year reinspection has been completed and response actions have been recommended for both friable and non-friable ACBM. A timely execution of the response actions recommended will enable the LEA and the Designated Person(s) to effectively manage the existing ACBM.

This three-year reinspection report should be inserted in the current Management plan at the CPS Central Office, and one (1) copy should be kept at the school for use when planning any renovation and/or demolition activities in areas where ACBM has been identified. Also, periodic surveillance documentation and any summary reports from any response actions that are executed at the school should be used to update the Management Plan.

### **III. METHODOLOGY**

Tasks performed on-site included the following:

1. Review current management plan, identify HA(s) and extract appropriate information.
2. Examine and verify abatement records.
3. Touch and visually and physically reinspect and reassess the condition of all friable known or assumed ACBM.
4. Touch and visually and physically reinspect all non-friable known or assumed ACBM to determine whether these materials have become friable since the last inspection or periodic surveillance.
5. Identify any condition changes that may affect Hazard ranking of known ACBM or Assumed ACBM, as well as any HA(s) that have become friable since the last reinspection.
6. Collect bulk samples from each newly discovered friable HA or previously assumed and submit for laboratory analysis.
7. Tabulate reinspection findings and submit for management planner review and recommendations with appropriate response actions based on the AHERA Damage Category of the ACBM.
8. Submit reinspection findings and management planner recommendations to the LEA within thirty (30) days for inclusion into the management plan.

### **IV. ABATEMENT HISTORY**

The information collected from the existing management plan and inspection report(s) and from interviews with the school official revealed that the following asbestos abatement was conducted at the school since the previous Three year Reinspection.

Abatement Dates:

### **V. REASSESSMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

The reassessments and recommendations are summarized in Tables I and II on the following pages. Detailed Hazard Assessment Sheets and Drawings and Photos (if applicable) are included in Appendix A.

### **VI. CONCLUSIONS**

The Building Three-Year reinspection has been completed and response actions have been recommended for both friable and non-friable ACBM. A timely execution of the response actions recommended will enable the LEA and the Designated Person(s) to effectively manage the existing ACBM.

This three-year reinspection report should be inserted in the current Management plan at the CPS Central Office, and one (1) copy should be kept at the school for use when planning any renovation and/or demolition activities in areas where ACBM has been identified. Also, periodic surveillance documentation and any summary reports from any response actions that are executed at the school should be used to update the Management Plan.

### **III. METHODOLOGY**

Tasks performed on-site included the following:

1. Review current management plan, identify HA(s) and extract appropriate information.
2. Examine and verify abatement records.
3. Touch and visually and physically reinspect and reassess the condition of all friable known or assumed ACBM.
4. Touch and visually and physically reinspect all non-friable known or assumed ACBM to determine whether these materials have become friable since the last inspection or periodic surveillance.
5. Identify any condition changes that may affect Hazard ranking of known ACBM or Assumed ACBM, as well as any HA(s) that have become friable since the last reinspection.
6. Collect bulk samples from each newly discovered friable HA or previously assumed and submit for laboratory analysis.
7. Tabulate reinspection findings and submit for management planner review and recommendations with appropriate response actions based on the AHERA Damage Category of the ACBM.
8. Submit reinspection findings and management planner recommendations to the LEA within thirty (30) days for inclusion into the management plan.

### **IV. ABATEMENT HISTORY**

The information collected from the existing management plan and inspection report(s) and from interviews with the school official revealed that the following asbestos abatement was conducted at the school since the previous Three year Reinspection.

Abatement Dates:

### **V. REASSESSMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

The reassessments and recommendations are summarized in Tables I and II on the following pages. Detailed Hazard Assessment Sheets and Drawings and Photos (if applicable) are included in Appendix A.

### **VI. CONCLUSIONS**

The Building Three-Year reinspection has been completed and response actions have been recommended for both friable and non-friable ACBM. A timely execution of the response actions recommended will enable the LEA and the Designated Person(s) to effectively manage the existing ACBM.

This three-year reinspection report should be inserted in the current Management plan at the CPS Central Office, and one (1) copy should be kept at the school for use when planning any renovation and/or demolition activities in areas where ACBM has been identified. Also, periodic surveillance documentation and any summary reports from any response actions that are executed at the school should be used to update the Management Plan.

### **III. METHODOLOGY**

Tasks performed on-site included the following:

1. Review current management plan, identify HA(s) and extract appropriate information.
2. Examine and verify abatement records.
3. Touch and visually and physically reinspect and reassess the condition of all friable known or assumed ACBM.
4. Touch and visually and physically reinspect all non-friable known or assumed ACBM to determine whether these materials have become friable since the last inspection or periodic surveillance.
5. Identify any condition changes that may affect Hazard ranking of known ACBM or Assumed ACBM, as well as any HA(s) that have become friable since the last reinspection.
6. Collect bulk samples from each newly discovered friable HA or previously assumed and submit for laboratory analysis.
7. Tabulate reinspection findings and submit for management planner review and recommendations with appropriate response actions based on the AHERA Damage Category of the ACBM.
8. Submit reinspection findings and management planner recommendations to the LEA within thirty (30) days for inclusion into the management plan.

### **IV. ABATEMENT HISTORY**

The information collected from the existing management plan and inspection report(s) and from interviews with the school official revealed that the following asbestos abatement was conducted at the school since the previous Three year Reinspection.

Abatement Dates:

### **V. REASSESSMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

The reassessments and recommendations are summarized in Tables I and II on the following pages. Detailed Hazard Assessment Sheets and Drawings and Photos (if applicable) are included in Appendix A.

### **VI. CONCLUSIONS**

The Building Three-Year reinspection has been completed and response actions have been recommended for both friable and non-friable ACBM. A timely execution of the response actions recommended will enable the LEA and the Designated Person(s) to effectively manage the existing ACBM.

This three-year reinspection report should be inserted in the current Management plan at the CPS Central Office, and one (1) copy should be kept at the school for use when planning any renovation and/or demolition activities in areas where ACBM has been identified. Also, periodic surveillance documentation and any summary reports from any response actions that are executed at the school should be used to update the Management Plan.

### **III. METHODOLOGY**

Tasks performed on-site included the following:

1. Review current management plan, identify HA(s) and extract appropriate information.
2. Examine and verify abatement records.
3. Touch and visually and physically reinspect and reassess the condition of all friable known or assumed ACBM.
4. Touch and visually and physically reinspect all non-friable known or assumed ACBM to determine whether these materials have become friable since the last inspection or periodic surveillance.
5. Identify any condition changes that may affect Hazard ranking of known ACBM or Assumed ACBM, as well as any HA(s) that have become friable since the last reinspection.
6. Collect bulk samples from each newly discovered friable HA or previously assumed and submit for laboratory analysis.
7. Tabulate reinspection findings and submit for management planner review and recommendations with appropriate response actions based on the AHERA Damage Category of the ACBM.
8. Submit reinspection findings and management planner recommendations to the LEA within thirty (30) days for inclusion into the management plan.

### **IV. ABATEMENT HISTORY**

The information collected from the existing management plan and inspection report(s) and from interviews with the school official revealed that the following asbestos abatement was conducted at the school since the previous Three year Reinspection.

Abatement Dates:

### **V. REASSESSMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

The reassessments and recommendations are summarized in Tables I and II on the following pages. Detailed Hazard Assessment Sheets and Drawings and Photos (if applicable) are included in Appendix A.

### **VI. CONCLUSIONS**

The Building Three-Year reinspection has been completed and response actions have been recommended for both friable and non-friable ACBM. A timely execution of the response actions recommended will enable the LEA and the Designated Person(s) to effectively manage the existing ACBM.

This three-year reinspection report should be inserted in the current Management plan at the CPS Central Office, and one (1) copy should be kept at the school for use when planning any renovation and/or demolition activities in areas where ACBM has been identified. Also, periodic surveillance documentation and any summary reports from any response actions that are executed at the school should be used to update the Management Plan.

### **III. METHODOLOGY**

Tasks performed on-site included the following:

1. Review current management plan, identify HA(s) and extract appropriate information.
2. Examine and verify abatement records.
3. Touch and visually and physically reinspect and reassess the condition of all friable known or assumed ACBM.
4. Touch and visually and physically reinspect all non-friable known or assumed ACBM to determine whether these materials have become friable since the last inspection or periodic surveillance.
5. Identify any condition changes that may affect Hazard ranking of known ACBM or Assumed ACBM, as well as any HA(s) that have become friable since the last reinspection.
6. Collect bulk samples from each newly discovered friable HA or previously assumed and submit for laboratory analysis.
7. Tabulate reinspection findings and submit for management planner review and recommendations with appropriate response actions based on the AHERA Damage Category of the ACBM.
8. Submit reinspection findings and management planner recommendations to the LEA within thirty (30) days for inclusion into the management plan.

### **IV. ABATEMENT HISTORY**

The information collected from the existing management plan and inspection report(s) and from interviews with the school official revealed that the following asbestos abatement was conducted at the school since the previous Three year Reinspection.

Abatement Dates:

### **V. REASSESSMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

The reassessments and recommendations are summarized in Tables I and II on the following pages. Detailed Hazard Assessment Sheets and Drawings and Photos (if applicable) are included in Appendix A.

### **VI. CONCLUSIONS**

The Building Three-Year reinspection has been completed and response actions have been recommended for both friable and non-friable ACBM. A timely execution of the response actions recommended will enable the LEA and the Designated Person(s) to effectively manage the existing ACBM.

This three-year reinspection report should be inserted in the current Management plan at the CPS Central Office, and one (1) copy should be kept at the school for use when planning any renovation and/or demolition activities in areas where ACBM has been identified. Also, periodic surveillance documentation and any summary reports from any response actions that are executed at the school should be used to update the Management Plan.

### **III. METHODOLOGY**

Tasks performed on-site included the following:

1. Review current management plan, identify HA(s) and extract appropriate information.
2. Examine and verify abatement records.
3. Touch and visually and physically reinspect and reassess the condition of all friable known or assumed ACBM.
4. Touch and visually and physically reinspect all non-friable known or assumed ACBM to determine whether these materials have become friable since the last inspection or periodic surveillance.
5. Identify any condition changes that may affect Hazard ranking of known ACBM or Assumed ACBM, as well as any HA(s) that have become friable since the last reinspection.
6. Collect bulk samples from each newly discovered friable HA or previously assumed and submit for laboratory analysis.
7. Tabulate reinspection findings and submit for management planner review and recommendations with appropriate response actions based on the AHERA Damage Category of the ACBM.
8. Submit reinspection findings and management planner recommendations to the LEA within thirty (30) days for inclusion into the management plan.

### **IV. ABATEMENT HISTORY**

The information collected from the existing management plan and inspection report(s) and from interviews with the school official revealed that the following asbestos abatement was conducted at the school since the previous Three year Reinspection.

Abatement Dates:

### **V. REASSESSMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

The reassessments and recommendations are summarized in Tables I and II on the following pages. Detailed Hazard Assessment Sheets and Drawings and Photos (if applicable) are included in Appendix A.

### **VI. CONCLUSIONS**

The Building Three-Year reinspection has been completed and response actions have been recommended for both friable and non-friable ACBM. A timely execution of the response actions recommended will enable the LEA and the Designated Person(s) to effectively manage the existing ACBM.

This three-year reinspection report should be inserted in the current Management plan at the CPS Central Office, and one (1) copy should be kept at the school for use when planning any renovation and/or demolition activities in areas where ACBM has been identified. Also, periodic surveillance documentation and any summary reports from any response actions that are executed at the school should be used to update the Management Plan.

### **III. METHODOLOGY**

Tasks performed on-site included the following:

1. Review current management plan, identify HA(s) and extract appropriate information.
2. Examine and verify abatement records.
3. Touch and visually and physically reinspect and reassess the condition of all friable known or assumed ACBM.
4. Touch and visually and physically reinspect all non-friable known or assumed ACBM to determine whether these materials have become friable since the last inspection or periodic surveillance.
5. Identify any condition changes that may affect Hazard ranking of known ACBM or Assumed ACBM, as well as any HA(s) that have become friable since the last reinspection.
6. Collect bulk samples from each newly discovered friable HA or previously assumed and submit for laboratory analysis.
7. Tabulate reinspection findings and submit for management planner review and recommendations with appropriate response actions based on the AHERA Damage Category of the ACBM.
8. Submit reinspection findings and management planner recommendations to the LEA within thirty (30) days for inclusion into the management plan.

### **IV. ABATEMENT HISTORY**

The information collected from the existing management plan and inspection report(s) and from interviews with the school official revealed that the following asbestos abatement was conducted at the school since the previous Three year Reinspection.

Abatement Dates:

### **V. REASSESSMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

The reassessments and recommendations are summarized in Tables I and II on the following pages. Detailed Hazard Assessment Sheets and Drawings and Photos (if applicable) are included in Appendix A.

### **VI. CONCLUSIONS**

The Building Three-Year reinspection has been completed and response actions have been recommended for both friable and non-friable ACBM. A timely execution of the response actions recommended will enable the LEA and the Designated Person(s) to effectively manage the existing ACBM.

This three-year reinspection report should be inserted in the current Management plan at the CPS Central Office, and one (1) copy should be kept at the school for use when planning any renovation and/or demolition activities in areas where ACBM has been identified. Also, periodic surveillance documentation and any summary reports from any response actions that are executed at the school should be used to update the Management Plan.

### **III. METHODOLOGY**

Tasks performed on-site included the following:

1. Review current management plan, identify HA(s) and extract appropriate information.
2. Examine and verify abatement records.
3. Touch and visually and physically reinspect and reassess the condition of all friable known or assumed ACBM.
4. Touch and visually and physically reinspect all non-friable known or assumed ACBM to determine whether these materials have become friable since the last inspection or periodic surveillance.
5. Identify any condition changes that may affect Hazard ranking of known ACBM or Assumed ACBM, as well as any HA(s) that have become friable since the last reinspection.
6. Collect bulk samples from each newly discovered friable HA or previously assumed and submit for laboratory analysis.
7. Tabulate reinspection findings and submit for management planner review and recommendations with appropriate response actions based on the AHERA Damage Category of the ACBM.
8. Submit reinspection findings and management planner recommendations to the LEA within thirty (30) days for inclusion into the management plan.

### **IV. ABATEMENT HISTORY**

The information collected from the existing management plan and inspection report(s) and from interviews with the school official revealed that the following asbestos abatement was conducted at the school since the previous Three year Reinspection.

Abatement Dates:

### **V. REASSESSMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

The reassessments and recommendations are summarized in Tables I and II on the following pages. Detailed Hazard Assessment Sheets and Drawings and Photos (if applicable) are included in Appendix A.

### **VI. CONCLUSIONS**

The Building Three-Year reinspection has been completed and response actions have been recommended for both friable and non-friable ACBM. A timely execution of the response actions recommended will enable the LEA and the Designated Person(s) to effectively manage the existing ACBM.

This three-year reinspection report should be inserted in the current Management plan at the CPS Central Office, and one (1) copy should be kept at the school for use when planning any renovation and/or demolition activities in areas where ACBM has been identified. Also, periodic surveillance documentation and any summary reports from any response actions that are executed at the school should be used to update the Management Plan.

### **III. METHODOLOGY**

Tasks performed on-site included the following:

1. Review current management plan, identify HA(s) and extract appropriate information.
2. Examine and verify abatement records.
3. Touch and visually and physically reinspect and reassess the condition of all friable known or assumed ACBM.
4. Touch and visually and physically reinspect all non-friable known or assumed ACBM to determine whether these materials have become friable since the last inspection or periodic surveillance.
5. Identify any condition changes that may affect Hazard ranking of known ACBM or Assumed ACBM, as well as any HA(s) that have become friable since the last reinspection.
6. Collect bulk samples from each newly discovered friable HA or previously assumed and submit for laboratory analysis.
7. Tabulate reinspection findings and submit for management planner review and recommendations with appropriate response actions based on the AHERA Damage Category of the ACBM.
8. Submit reinspection findings and management planner recommendations to the LEA within thirty (30) days for inclusion into the management plan.

### **IV. ABATEMENT HISTORY**

The information collected from the existing management plan and inspection report(s) and from interviews with the school official revealed that the following asbestos abatement was conducted at the school since the previous Three year Reinspection.

Abatement Dates:

### **V. REASSESSMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

The reassessments and recommendations are summarized in Tables I and II on the following pages. Detailed Hazard Assessment Sheets and Drawings and Photos (if applicable) are included in Appendix A.

### **VI. CONCLUSIONS**

The Building Three-Year reinspection has been completed and response actions have been recommended for both friable and non-friable ACBM. A timely execution of the response actions recommended will enable the LEA and the Designated Person(s) to effectively manage the existing ACBM.

This three-year reinspection report should be inserted in the current Management plan at the CPS Central Office, and one (1) copy should be kept at the school for use when planning any renovation and/or demolition activities in areas where ACBM has been identified. Also, periodic surveillance documentation and any summary reports from any response actions that are executed at the school should be used to update the Management Plan.

### **III. METHODOLOGY**

Tasks performed on-site included the following:

1. Review current management plan, identify HA(s) and extract appropriate information.
2. Examine and verify abatement records.
3. Touch and visually and physically reinspect and reassess the condition of all friable known or assumed ACBM.
4. Touch and visually and physically reinspect all non-friable known or assumed ACBM to determine whether these materials have become friable since the last inspection or periodic surveillance.
5. Identify any condition changes that may affect Hazard ranking of known ACBM or Assumed ACBM, as well as any HA(s) that have become friable since the last reinspection.
6. Collect bulk samples from each newly discovered friable HA or previously assumed and submit for laboratory analysis.
7. Tabulate reinspection findings and submit for management planner review and recommendations with appropriate response actions based on the AHERA Damage Category of the ACBM.
8. Submit reinspection findings and management planner recommendations to the LEA within thirty (30) days for inclusion into the management plan.

### **IV. ABATEMENT HISTORY**

The information collected from the existing management plan and inspection report(s) and from interviews with the school official revealed that the following asbestos abatement was conducted at the school since the previous Three year Reinspection.

Abatement Dates:

### **V. REASSESSMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

The reassessments and recommendations are summarized in Tables I and II on the following pages. Detailed Hazard Assessment Sheets and Drawings and Photos (if applicable) are included in Appendix A.

### **VI. CONCLUSIONS**

The Building Three-Year reinspection has been completed and response actions have been recommended for both friable and non-friable ACBM. A timely execution of the response actions recommended will enable the LEA and the Designated Person(s) to effectively manage the existing ACBM.

This three-year reinspection report should be inserted in the current Management plan at the CPS Central Office, and one (1) copy should be kept at the school for use when planning any renovation and/or demolition activities in areas where ACBM has been identified. Also, periodic surveillance documentation and any summary reports from any response actions that are executed at the school should be used to update the Management Plan.

### **III. METHODOLOGY**

Tasks performed on-site included the following:

1. Review current management plan, identify HA(s) and extract appropriate information.
2. Examine and verify abatement records.
3. Touch and visually and physically reinspect and reassess the condition of all friable known or assumed ACBM.
4. Touch and visually and physically reinspect all non-friable known or assumed ACBM to determine whether these materials have become friable since the last inspection or periodic surveillance.
5. Identify any condition changes that may affect Hazard ranking of known ACBM or Assumed ACBM, as well as any HA(s) that have become friable since the last reinspection.
6. Collect bulk samples from each newly discovered friable HA or previously assumed and submit for laboratory analysis.
7. Tabulate reinspection findings and submit for management planner review and recommendations with appropriate response actions based on the AHERA Damage Category of the ACBM.
8. Submit reinspection findings and management planner recommendations to the LEA within thirty (30) days for inclusion into the management plan.

### **IV. ABATEMENT HISTORY**

The information collected from the existing management plan and inspection report(s) and from interviews with the school official revealed that the following asbestos abatement was conducted at the school since the previous Three year Reinspection.

Abatement Dates:

### **V. REASSESSMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

The reassessments and recommendations are summarized in Tables I and II on the following pages. Detailed Hazard Assessment Sheets and Drawings and Photos (if applicable) are included in Appendix A.

### **VI. CONCLUSIONS**

The Building Three-Year reinspection has been completed and response actions have been recommended for both friable and non-friable ACBM. A timely execution of the response actions recommended will enable the LEA and the Designated Person(s) to effectively manage the existing ACBM.

This three-year reinspection report should be inserted in the current Management plan at the CPS Central Office, and one (1) copy should be kept at the school for use when planning any renovation and/or demolition activities in areas where ACBM has been identified. Also, periodic surveillance documentation and any summary reports from any response actions that are executed at the school should be used to update the Management Plan.

### **III. METHODOLOGY**

Tasks performed on-site included the following:

1. Review current management plan, identify HA(s) and extract appropriate information.
2. Examine and verify abatement records.
3. Touch and visually and physically reinspect and reassess the condition of all friable known or assumed ACBM.
4. Touch and visually and physically reinspect all non-friable known or assumed ACBM to determine whether these materials have become friable since the last inspection or periodic surveillance.
5. Identify any condition changes that may affect Hazard ranking of known ACBM or Assumed ACBM, as well as any HA(s) that have become friable since the last reinspection.
6. Collect bulk samples from each newly discovered friable HA or previously assumed and submit for laboratory analysis.
7. Tabulate reinspection findings and submit for management planner review and recommendations with appropriate response actions based on the AHERA Damage Category of the ACBM.
8. Submit reinspection findings and management planner recommendations to the LEA within thirty (30) days for inclusion into the management plan.

### **IV. ABATEMENT HISTORY**

The information collected from the existing management plan and inspection report(s) and from interviews with the school official revealed that the following asbestos abatement was conducted at the school since the previous Three year Reinspection.

Abatement Dates:

### **V. REASSESSMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

The reassessments and recommendations are summarized in Tables I and II on the following pages. Detailed Hazard Assessment Sheets and Drawings and Photos (if applicable) are included in Appendix A.

### **VI. CONCLUSIONS**

The Building Three-Year reinspection has been completed and response actions have been recommended for both friable and non-friable ACBM. A timely execution of the response actions recommended will enable the LEA and the Designated Person(s) to effectively manage the existing ACBM.

This three-year reinspection report should be inserted in the current Management plan at the CPS Central Office, and one (1) copy should be kept at the school for use when planning any renovation and/or demolition activities in areas where ACBM has been identified. Also, periodic surveillance documentation and any summary reports from any response actions that are executed at the school should be used to update the Management Plan.

### **III. METHODOLOGY**

Tasks performed on-site included the following:

1. Review current management plan, identify HA(s) and extract appropriate information.
2. Examine and verify abatement records.
3. Touch and visually and physically reinspect and reassess the condition of all friable known or assumed ACBM.
4. Touch and visually and physically reinspect all non-friable known or assumed ACBM to determine whether these materials have become friable since the last inspection or periodic surveillance.
5. Identify any condition changes that may affect Hazard ranking of known ACBM or Assumed ACBM, as well as any HA(s) that have become friable since the last reinspection.
6. Collect bulk samples from each newly discovered friable HA or previously assumed and submit for laboratory analysis.
7. Tabulate reinspection findings and submit for management planner review and recommendations with appropriate response actions based on the AHERA Damage Category of the ACBM.
8. Submit reinspection findings and management planner recommendations to the LEA within thirty (30) days for inclusion into the management plan.

### **IV. ABATEMENT HISTORY**

The information collected from the existing management plan and inspection report(s) and from interviews with the school official revealed that the following asbestos abatement was conducted at the school since the previous Three year Reinspection.

Abatement Dates:

### **V. REASSESSMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

The reassessments and recommendations are summarized in Tables I and II on the following pages. Detailed Hazard Assessment Sheets and Drawings and Photos (if applicable) are included in Appendix A.

### **VI. CONCLUSIONS**

The Building Three-Year reinspection has been completed and response actions have been recommended for both friable and non-friable ACBM. A timely execution of the response actions recommended will enable the LEA and the Designated Person(s) to effectively manage the existing ACBM.

This three-year reinspection report should be inserted in the current Management plan at the CPS Central Office, and one (1) copy should be kept at the school for use when planning any renovation and/or demolition activities in areas where ACBM has been identified. Also, periodic surveillance documentation and any summary reports from any response actions that are executed at the school should be used to update the Management Plan.

### **III. METHODOLOGY**

Tasks performed on-site included the following:

1. Review current management plan, identify HA(s) and extract appropriate information.
2. Examine and verify abatement records.
3. Touch and visually and physically reinspect and reassess the condition of all friable known or assumed ACBM.
4. Touch and visually and physically reinspect all non-friable known or assumed ACBM to determine whether these materials have become friable since the last inspection or periodic surveillance.
5. Identify any condition changes that may affect Hazard ranking of known ACBM or Assumed ACBM, as well as any HA(s) that have become friable since the last reinspection.
6. Collect bulk samples from each newly discovered friable HA or previously assumed and submit for laboratory analysis.
7. Tabulate reinspection findings and submit for management planner review and recommendations with appropriate response actions based on the AHERA Damage Category of the ACBM.
8. Submit reinspection findings and management planner recommendations to the LEA within thirty (30) days for inclusion into the management plan.

### **IV. ABATEMENT HISTORY**

The information collected from the existing management plan and inspection report(s) and from interviews with the school official revealed that the following asbestos abatement was conducted at the school since the previous Three year Reinspection.

Abatement Dates:

### **V. REASSESSMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

The reassessments and recommendations are summarized in Tables I and II on the following pages. Detailed Hazard Assessment Sheets and Drawings and Photos (if applicable) are included in Appendix A.

### **VI. CONCLUSIONS**

The Building Three-Year reinspection has been completed and response actions have been recommended for both friable and non-friable ACBM. A timely execution of the response actions recommended will enable the LEA and the Designated Person(s) to effectively manage the existing ACBM.

This three-year reinspection report should be inserted in the current Management plan at the CPS Central Office, and one (1) copy should be kept at the school for use when planning any renovation and/or demolition activities in areas where ACBM has been identified. Also, periodic surveillance documentation and any summary reports from any response actions that are executed at the school should be used to update the Management Plan.

### **III. METHODOLOGY**

Tasks performed on-site included the following:

1. Review current management plan, identify HA(s) and extract appropriate information.
2. Examine and verify abatement records.
3. Touch and visually and physically reinspect and reassess the condition of all friable known or assumed ACBM.
4. Touch and visually and physically reinspect all non-friable known or assumed ACBM to determine whether these materials have become friable since the last inspection or periodic surveillance.
5. Identify any condition changes that may affect Hazard ranking of known ACBM or Assumed ACBM, as well as any HA(s) that have become friable since the last reinspection.
6. Collect bulk samples from each newly discovered friable HA or previously assumed and submit for laboratory analysis.
7. Tabulate reinspection findings and submit for management planner review and recommendations with appropriate response actions based on the AHERA Damage Category of the ACBM.
8. Submit reinspection findings and management planner recommendations to the LEA within thirty (30) days for inclusion into the management plan.

### **IV. ABATEMENT HISTORY**

The information collected from the existing management plan and inspection report(s) and from interviews with the school official revealed that the following asbestos abatement was conducted at the school since the previous Three year Reinspection.

Abatement Dates:

### **V. REASSESSMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

The reassessments and recommendations are summarized in Tables I and II on the following pages. Detailed Hazard Assessment Sheets and Drawings and Photos (if applicable) are included in Appendix A.

### **VI. CONCLUSIONS**

The Building Three-Year reinspection has been completed and response actions have been recommended for both friable and non-friable ACBM. A timely execution of the response actions recommended will enable the LEA and the Designated Person(s) to effectively manage the existing ACBM.

This three-year reinspection report should be inserted in the current Management plan at the CPS Central Office, and one (1) copy should be kept at the school for use when planning any renovation and/or demolition activities in areas where ACBM has been identified. Also, periodic surveillance documentation and any summary reports from any response actions that are executed at the school should be used to update the Management Plan.

### **III. METHODOLOGY**

Tasks performed on-site included the following:

1. Review current management plan, identify HA(s) and extract appropriate information.
2. Examine and verify abatement records.
3. Touch and visually and physically reinspect and reassess the condition of all friable known or assumed ACBM.
4. Touch and visually and physically reinspect all non-friable known or assumed ACBM to determine whether these materials have become friable since the last inspection or periodic surveillance.
5. Identify any condition changes that may affect Hazard ranking of known ACBM or Assumed ACBM, as well as any HA(s) that have become friable since the last reinspection.
6. Collect bulk samples from each newly discovered friable HA or previously assumed and submit for laboratory analysis.
7. Tabulate reinspection findings and submit for management planner review and recommendations with appropriate response actions based on the AHERA Damage Category of the ACBM.
8. Submit reinspection findings and management planner recommendations to the LEA within thirty (30) days for inclusion into the management plan.

### **IV. ABATEMENT HISTORY**

The information collected from the existing management plan and inspection report(s) and from interviews with the school official revealed that the following asbestos abatement was conducted at the school since the previous Three year Reinspection.

Abatement Dates:

### **V. REASSESSMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

The reassessments and recommendations are summarized in Tables I and II on the following pages. Detailed Hazard Assessment Sheets and Drawings and Photos (if applicable) are included in Appendix A.

### **VI. CONCLUSIONS**

The Building Three-Year reinspection has been completed and response actions have been recommended for both friable and non-friable ACBM. A timely execution of the response actions recommended will enable the LEA and the Designated Person(s) to effectively manage the existing ACBM.

This three-year reinspection report should be inserted in the current Management plan at the CPS Central Office, and one (1) copy should be kept at the school for use when planning any renovation and/or demolition activities in areas where ACBM has been identified. Also, periodic surveillance documentation and any summary reports from any response actions that are executed at the school should be used to update the Management Plan.

### **III. METHODOLOGY**

Tasks performed on-site included the following:

1. Review current management plan, identify HA(s) and extract appropriate information.
2. Examine and verify abatement records.
3. Touch and visually and physically reinspect and reassess the condition of all friable known or assumed ACBM.
4. Touch and visually and physically reinspect all non-friable known or assumed ACBM to determine whether these materials have become friable since the last inspection or periodic surveillance.
5. Identify any condition changes that may affect Hazard ranking of known ACBM or Assumed ACBM, as well as any HA(s) that have become friable since the last reinspection.
6. Collect bulk samples from each newly discovered friable HA or previously assumed and submit for laboratory analysis.
7. Tabulate reinspection findings and submit for management planner review and recommendations with appropriate response actions based on the AHERA Damage Category of the ACBM.
8. Submit reinspection findings and management planner recommendations to the LEA within thirty (30) days for inclusion into the management plan.

### **IV. ABATEMENT HISTORY**

The information collected from the existing management plan and inspection report(s) and from interviews with the school official revealed that the following asbestos abatement was conducted at the school since the previous Three year Reinspection.

Abatement Dates:

### **V. REASSESSMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

The reassessments and recommendations are summarized in Tables I and II on the following pages. Detailed Hazard Assessment Sheets and Drawings and Photos (if applicable) are included in Appendix A.

### **VI. CONCLUSIONS**

The Building Three-Year reinspection has been completed and response actions have been recommended for both friable and non-friable ACBM. A timely execution of the response actions recommended will enable the LEA and the Designated Person(s) to effectively manage the existing ACBM.

This three-year reinspection report should be inserted in the current Management plan at the CPS Central Office, and one (1) copy should be kept at the school for use when planning any renovation and/or demolition activities in areas where ACBM has been identified. Also, periodic surveillance documentation and any summary reports from any response actions that are executed at the school should be used to update the Management Plan.

### **III. METHODOLOGY**

Tasks performed on-site included the following:

1. Review current management plan, identify HA(s) and extract appropriate information.
2. Examine and verify abatement records.
3. Touch and visually and physically reinspect and reassess the condition of all friable known or assumed ACBM.
4. Touch and visually and physically reinspect all non-friable known or assumed ACBM to determine whether these materials have become friable since the last inspection or periodic surveillance.
5. Identify any condition changes that may affect Hazard ranking of known ACBM or Assumed ACBM, as well as any HA(s) that have become friable since the last reinspection.
6. Collect bulk samples from each newly discovered friable HA or previously assumed and submit for laboratory analysis.
7. Tabulate reinspection findings and submit for management planner review and recommendations with appropriate response actions based on the AHERA Damage Category of the ACBM.
8. Submit reinspection findings and management planner recommendations to the LEA within thirty (30) days for inclusion into the management plan.

### **IV. ABATEMENT HISTORY**

The information collected from the existing management plan and inspection report(s) and from interviews with the school official revealed that the following asbestos abatement was conducted at the school since the previous Three year Reinspection.

Abatement Dates:

### **V. REASSESSMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

The reassessments and recommendations are summarized in Tables I and II on the following pages. Detailed Hazard Assessment Sheets and Drawings and Photos (if applicable) are included in Appendix A.

### **VI. CONCLUSIONS**

The Building Three-Year reinspection has been completed and response actions have been recommended for both friable and non-friable ACBM. A timely execution of the response actions recommended will enable the LEA and the Designated Person(s) to effectively manage the existing ACBM.

This three-year reinspection report should be inserted in the current Management plan at the CPS Central Office, and one (1) copy should be kept at the school for use when planning any renovation and/or demolition activities in areas where ACBM has been identified. Also, periodic surveillance documentation and any summary reports from any response actions that are executed at the school should be used to update the Management Plan.

### **III. METHODOLOGY**

Tasks performed on-site included the following:

1. Review current management plan, identify HA(s) and extract appropriate information.
2. Examine and verify abatement records.
3. Touch and visually and physically reinspect and reassess the condition of all friable known or assumed ACBM.
4. Touch and visually and physically reinspect all non-friable known or assumed ACBM to determine whether these materials have become friable since the last inspection or periodic surveillance.
5. Identify any condition changes that may affect Hazard ranking of known ACBM or Assumed ACBM, as well as any HA(s) that have become friable since the last reinspection.
6. Collect bulk samples from each newly discovered friable HA or previously assumed and submit for laboratory analysis.
7. Tabulate reinspection findings and submit for management planner review and recommendations with appropriate response actions based on the AHERA Damage Category of the ACBM.
8. Submit reinspection findings and management planner recommendations to the LEA within thirty (30) days for inclusion into the management plan.

### **IV. ABATEMENT HISTORY**

The information collected from the existing management plan and inspection report(s) and from interviews with the school official revealed that the following asbestos abatement was conducted at the school since the previous Three year Reinspection.

Abatement Dates:

### **V. REASSESSMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

The reassessments and recommendations are summarized in Tables I and II on the following pages. Detailed Hazard Assessment Sheets and Drawings and Photos (if applicable) are included in Appendix A.

### **VI. CONCLUSIONS**

The Building Three-Year reinspection has been completed and response actions have been recommended for both friable and non-friable ACBM. A timely execution of the response actions recommended will enable the LEA and the Designated Person(s) to effectively manage the existing ACBM.

This three-year reinspection report should be inserted in the current Management plan at the CPS Central Office, and one (1) copy should be kept at the school for use when planning any renovation and/or demolition activities in areas where ACBM has been identified. Also, periodic surveillance documentation and any summary reports from any response actions that are executed at the school should be used to update the Management Plan.

### **III. METHODOLOGY**

Tasks performed on-site included the following:

1. Review current management plan, identify HA(s) and extract appropriate information.
2. Examine and verify abatement records.
3. Touch and visually and physically reinspect and reassess the condition of all friable known or assumed ACBM.
4. Touch and visually and physically reinspect all non-friable known or assumed ACBM to determine whether these materials have become friable since the last inspection or periodic surveillance.
5. Identify any condition changes that may affect Hazard ranking of known ACBM or Assumed ACBM, as well as any HA(s) that have become friable since the last reinspection.
6. Collect bulk samples from each newly discovered friable HA or previously assumed and submit for laboratory analysis.
7. Tabulate reinspection findings and submit for management planner review and recommendations with appropriate response actions based on the AHERA Damage Category of the ACBM.
8. Submit reinspection findings and management planner recommendations to the LEA within thirty (30) days for inclusion into the management plan.

### **IV. ABATEMENT HISTORY**

The information collected from the existing management plan and inspection report(s) and from interviews with the school official revealed that the following asbestos abatement was conducted at the school since the previous Three year Reinspection.

Abatement Dates:

### **V. REASSESSMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

The reassessments and recommendations are summarized in Tables I and II on the following pages. Detailed Hazard Assessment Sheets and Drawings and Photos (if applicable) are included in Appendix A.

### **VI. CONCLUSIONS**

The Building Three-Year reinspection has been completed and response actions have been recommended for both friable and non-friable ACBM. A timely execution of the response actions recommended will enable the LEA and the Designated Person(s) to effectively manage the existing ACBM.

This three-year reinspection report should be inserted in the current Management plan at the CPS Central Office, and one (1) copy should be kept at the school for use when planning any renovation and/or demolition activities in areas where ACBM has been identified. Also, periodic surveillance documentation and any summary reports from any response actions that are executed at the school should be used to update the Management Plan.

### **III. METHODOLOGY**

Tasks performed on-site included the following:

1. Review current management plan, identify HA(s) and extract appropriate information.
2. Examine and verify abatement records.
3. Touch and visually and physically reinspect and reassess the condition of all friable known or assumed ACBM.
4. Touch and visually and physically reinspect all non-friable known or assumed ACBM to determine whether these materials have become friable since the last inspection or periodic surveillance.
5. Identify any condition changes that may affect Hazard ranking of known ACBM or Assumed ACBM, as well as any HA(s) that have become friable since the last reinspection.
6. Collect bulk samples from each newly discovered friable HA or previously assumed and submit for laboratory analysis.
7. Tabulate reinspection findings and submit for management planner review and recommendations with appropriate response actions based on the AHERA Damage Category of the ACBM.
8. Submit reinspection findings and management planner recommendations to the LEA within thirty (30) days for inclusion into the management plan.

### **IV. ABATEMENT HISTORY**

The information collected from the existing management plan and inspection report(s) and from interviews with the school official revealed that the following asbestos abatement was conducted at the school since the previous Three year Reinspection.

Abatement Dates:

### **V. REASSESSMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

The reassessments and recommendations are summarized in Tables I and II on the following pages. Detailed Hazard Assessment Sheets and Drawings and Photos (if applicable) are included in Appendix A.

### **VI. CONCLUSIONS**

The Building Three-Year reinspection has been completed and response actions have been recommended for both friable and non-friable ACBM. A timely execution of the response actions recommended will enable the LEA and the Designated Person(s) to effectively manage the existing ACBM.

This three-year reinspection report should be inserted in the current Management plan at the CPS Central Office, and one (1) copy should be kept at the school for use when planning any renovation and/or demolition activities in areas where ACBM has been identified. Also, periodic surveillance documentation and any summary reports from any response actions that are executed at the school should be used to update the Management Plan.

### **III. METHODOLOGY**

Tasks performed on-site included the following:

1. Review current management plan, identify HA(s) and extract appropriate information.
2. Examine and verify abatement records.
3. Touch and visually and physically reinspect and reassess the condition of all friable known or assumed ACBM.
4. Touch and visually and physically reinspect all non-friable known or assumed ACBM to determine whether these materials have become friable since the last inspection or periodic surveillance.
5. Identify any condition changes that may affect Hazard ranking of known ACBM or Assumed ACBM, as well as any HA(s) that have become friable since the last reinspection.
6. Collect bulk samples from each newly discovered friable HA or previously assumed and submit for laboratory analysis.
7. Tabulate reinspection findings and submit for management planner review and recommendations with appropriate response actions based on the AHERA Damage Category of the ACBM.
8. Submit reinspection findings and management planner recommendations to the LEA within thirty (30) days for inclusion into the management plan.

### **IV. ABATEMENT HISTORY**

The information collected from the existing management plan and inspection report(s) and from interviews with the school official revealed that the following asbestos abatement was conducted at the school since the previous Three year Reinspection.

Abatement Dates:

### **V. REASSESSMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

The reassessments and recommendations are summarized in Tables I and II on the following pages. Detailed Hazard Assessment Sheets and Drawings and Photos (if applicable) are included in Appendix A.

### **VI. CONCLUSIONS**

The Building Three-Year reinspection has been completed and response actions have been recommended for both friable and non-friable ACBM. A timely execution of the response actions recommended will enable the LEA and the Designated Person(s) to effectively manage the existing ACBM.

This three-year reinspection report should be inserted in the current Management plan at the CPS Central Office, and one (1) copy should be kept at the school for use when planning any renovation and/or demolition activities in areas where ACBM has been identified. Also, periodic surveillance documentation and any summary reports from any response actions that are executed at the school should be used to update the Management Plan.

### **III. METHODOLOGY**

Tasks performed on-site included the following:

1. Review current management plan, identify HA(s) and extract appropriate information.
2. Examine and verify abatement records.
3. Touch and visually and physically reinspect and reassess the condition of all friable known or assumed ACBM.
4. Touch and visually and physically reinspect all non-friable known or assumed ACBM to determine whether these materials have become friable since the last inspection or periodic surveillance.
5. Identify any condition changes that may affect Hazard ranking of known ACBM or Assumed ACBM, as well as any HA(s) that have become friable since the last reinspection.
6. Collect bulk samples from each newly discovered friable HA or previously assumed and submit for laboratory analysis.
7. Tabulate reinspection findings and submit for management planner review and recommendations with appropriate response actions based on the AHERA Damage Category of the ACBM.
8. Submit reinspection findings and management planner recommendations to the LEA within thirty (30) days for inclusion into the management plan.

### **IV. ABATEMENT HISTORY**

The information collected from the existing management plan and inspection report(s) and from interviews with the school official revealed that the following asbestos abatement was conducted at the school since the previous Three year Reinspection.

Abatement Dates:

### **V. REASSESSMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

The reassessments and recommendations are summarized in Tables I and II on the following pages. Detailed Hazard Assessment Sheets and Drawings and Photos (if applicable) are included in Appendix A.

### **VI. CONCLUSIONS**

The Building Three-Year reinspection has been completed and response actions have been recommended for both friable and non-friable ACBM. A timely execution of the response actions recommended will enable the LEA and the Designated Person(s) to effectively manage the existing ACBM.

This three-year reinspection report should be inserted in the current Management plan at the CPS Central Office, and one (1) copy should be kept at the school for use when planning any renovation and/or demolition activities in areas where ACBM has been identified. Also, periodic surveillance documentation and any summary reports from any response actions that are executed at the school should be used to update the Management Plan.

### **III. METHODOLOGY**

Tasks performed on-site included the following:

1. Review current management plan, identify HA(s) and extract appropriate information.
2. Examine and verify abatement records.
3. Touch and visually and physically reinspect and reassess the condition of all friable known or assumed ACBM.
4. Touch and visually and physically reinspect all non-friable known or assumed ACBM to determine whether these materials have become friable since the last inspection or periodic surveillance.
5. Identify any condition changes that may affect Hazard ranking of known ACBM or Assumed ACBM, as well as any HA(s) that have become friable since the last reinspection.
6. Collect bulk samples from each newly discovered friable HA or previously assumed and submit for laboratory analysis.
7. Tabulate reinspection findings and submit for management planner review and recommendations with appropriate response actions based on the AHERA Damage Category of the ACBM.
8. Submit reinspection findings and management planner recommendations to the LEA within thirty (30) days for inclusion into the management plan.

### **IV. ABATEMENT HISTORY**

The information collected from the existing management plan and inspection report(s) and from interviews with the school official revealed that the following asbestos abatement was conducted at the school since the previous Three year Reinspection.

Abatement Dates:

### **V. REASSESSMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

The reassessments and recommendations are summarized in Tables I and II on the following pages. Detailed Hazard Assessment Sheets and Drawings and Photos (if applicable) are included in Appendix A.

### **VI. CONCLUSIONS**

The Building Three-Year reinspection has been completed and response actions have been recommended for both friable and non-friable ACBM. A timely execution of the response actions recommended will enable the LEA and the Designated Person(s) to effectively manage the existing ACBM.

This three-year reinspection report should be inserted in the current Management plan at the CPS Central Office, and one (1) copy should be kept at the school for use when planning any renovation and/or demolition activities in areas where ACBM has been identified. Also, periodic surveillance documentation and any summary reports from any response actions that are executed at the school should be used to update the Management Plan.

### **III. METHODOLOGY**

Tasks performed on-site included the following:

1. Review current management plan, identify HA(s) and extract appropriate information.
2. Examine and verify abatement records.
3. Touch and visually and physically reinspect and reassess the condition of all friable known or assumed ACBM.
4. Touch and visually and physically reinspect all non-friable known or assumed ACBM to determine whether these materials have become friable since the last inspection or periodic surveillance.
5. Identify any condition changes that may affect Hazard ranking of known ACBM or Assumed ACBM, as well as any HA(s) that have become friable since the last reinspection.
6. Collect bulk samples from each newly discovered friable HA or previously assumed and submit for laboratory analysis.
7. Tabulate reinspection findings and submit for management planner review and recommendations with appropriate response actions based on the AHERA Damage Category of the ACBM.
8. Submit reinspection findings and management planner recommendations to the LEA within thirty (30) days for inclusion into the management plan.

### **IV. ABATEMENT HISTORY**

The information collected from the existing management plan and inspection report(s) and from interviews with the school official revealed that the following asbestos abatement was conducted at the school since the previous Three year Reinspection.

Abatement Dates:

### **V. REASSESSMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

The reassessments and recommendations are summarized in Tables I and II on the following pages. Detailed Hazard Assessment Sheets and Drawings and Photos (if applicable) are included in Appendix A.

### **VI. CONCLUSIONS**

The Building Three-Year reinspection has been completed and response actions have been recommended for both friable and non-friable ACBM. A timely execution of the response actions recommended will enable the LEA and the Designated Person(s) to effectively manage the existing ACBM.

This three-year reinspection report should be inserted in the current Management plan at the CPS Central Office, and one (1) copy should be kept at the school for use when planning any renovation and/or demolition activities in areas where ACBM has been identified. Also, periodic surveillance documentation and any summary reports from any response actions that are executed at the school should be used to update the Management Plan.

### **III. METHODOLOGY**

Tasks performed on-site included the following:

1. Review current management plan, identify HA(s) and extract appropriate information.
2. Examine and verify abatement records.
3. Touch and visually and physically reinspect and reassess the condition of all friable known or assumed ACBM.
4. Touch and visually and physically reinspect all non-friable known or assumed ACBM to determine whether these materials have become friable since the last inspection or periodic surveillance.
5. Identify any condition changes that may affect Hazard ranking of known ACBM or Assumed ACBM, as well as any HA(s) that have become friable since the last reinspection.
6. Collect bulk samples from each newly discovered friable HA or previously assumed and submit for laboratory analysis.
7. Tabulate reinspection findings and submit for management planner review and recommendations with appropriate response actions based on the AHERA Damage Category of the ACBM.
8. Submit reinspection findings and management planner recommendations to the LEA within thirty (30) days for inclusion into the management plan.

### **IV. ABATEMENT HISTORY**

The information collected from the existing management plan and inspection report(s) and from interviews with the school official revealed that the following asbestos abatement was conducted at the school since the previous Three year Reinspection.

Abatement Dates:

### **V. REASSESSMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

The reassessments and recommendations are summarized in Tables I and II on the following pages. Detailed Hazard Assessment Sheets and Drawings and Photos (if applicable) are included in Appendix A.

### **VI. CONCLUSIONS**

The Building Three-Year reinspection has been completed and response actions have been recommended for both friable and non-friable ACBM. A timely execution of the response actions recommended will enable the LEA and the Designated Person(s) to effectively manage the existing ACBM.

This three-year reinspection report should be inserted in the current Management plan at the CPS Central Office, and one (1) copy should be kept at the school for use when planning any renovation and/or demolition activities in areas where ACBM has been identified. Also, periodic surveillance documentation and any summary reports from any response actions that are executed at the school should be used to update the Management Plan.

### **III. METHODOLOGY**

Tasks performed on-site included the following:

1. Review current management plan, identify HA(s) and extract appropriate information.
2. Examine and verify abatement records.
3. Touch and visually and physically reinspect and reassess the condition of all friable known or assumed ACBM.
4. Touch and visually and physically reinspect all non-friable known or assumed ACBM to determine whether these materials have become friable since the last inspection or periodic surveillance.
5. Identify any condition changes that may affect Hazard ranking of known ACBM or Assumed ACBM, as well as any HA(s) that have become friable since the last reinspection.
6. Collect bulk samples from each newly discovered friable HA or previously assumed and submit for laboratory analysis.
7. Tabulate reinspection findings and submit for management planner review and recommendations with appropriate response actions based on the AHERA Damage Category of the ACBM.
8. Submit reinspection findings and management planner recommendations to the LEA within thirty (30) days for inclusion into the management plan.

### **IV. ABATEMENT HISTORY**

The information collected from the existing management plan and inspection report(s) and from interviews with the school official revealed that the following asbestos abatement was conducted at the school since the previous Three year Reinspection.

Abatement Dates:

### **V. REASSESSMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

The reassessments and recommendations are summarized in Tables I and II on the following pages. Detailed Hazard Assessment Sheets and Drawings and Photos (if applicable) are included in Appendix A.

### **VI. CONCLUSIONS**

The Building Three-Year reinspection has been completed and response actions have been recommended for both friable and non-friable ACBM. A timely execution of the response actions recommended will enable the LEA and the Designated Person(s) to effectively manage the existing ACBM.

This three-year reinspection report should be inserted in the current Management plan at the CPS Central Office, and one (1) copy should be kept at the school for use when planning any renovation and/or demolition activities in areas where ACBM has been identified. Also, periodic surveillance documentation and any summary reports from any response actions that are executed at the school should be used to update the Management Plan.

### **III. METHODOLOGY**

Tasks performed on-site included the following:

1. Review current management plan, identify HA(s) and extract appropriate information.
2. Examine and verify abatement records.
3. Touch and visually and physically reinspect and reassess the condition of all friable known or assumed ACBM.
4. Touch and visually and physically reinspect all non-friable known or assumed ACBM to determine whether these materials have become friable since the last inspection or periodic surveillance.
5. Identify any condition changes that may affect Hazard ranking of known ACBM or Assumed ACBM, as well as any HA(s) that have become friable since the last reinspection.
6. Collect bulk samples from each newly discovered friable HA or previously assumed and submit for laboratory analysis.
7. Tabulate reinspection findings and submit for management planner review and recommendations with appropriate response actions based on the AHERA Damage Category of the ACBM.
8. Submit reinspection findings and management planner recommendations to the LEA within thirty (30) days for inclusion into the management plan.

### **IV. ABATEMENT HISTORY**

The information collected from the existing management plan and inspection report(s) and from interviews with the school official revealed that the following asbestos abatement was conducted at the school since the previous Three year Reinspection.

Abatement Dates:

### **V. REASSESSMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

The reassessments and recommendations are summarized in Tables I and II on the following pages. Detailed Hazard Assessment Sheets and Drawings and Photos (if applicable) are included in Appendix A.

### **VI. CONCLUSIONS**

The Building Three-Year reinspection has been completed and response actions have been recommended for both friable and non-friable ACBM. A timely execution of the response actions recommended will enable the LEA and the Designated Person(s) to effectively manage the existing ACBM.

This three-year reinspection report should be inserted in the current Management plan at the CPS Central Office, and one (1) copy should be kept at the school for use when planning any renovation and/or demolition activities in areas where ACBM has been identified. Also, periodic surveillance documentation and any summary reports from any response actions that are executed at the school should be used to update the Management Plan.

### **III. METHODOLOGY**

Tasks performed on-site included the following:

1. Review current management plan, identify HA(s) and extract appropriate information.
2. Examine and verify abatement records.
3. Touch and visually and physically reinspect and reassess the condition of all friable known or assumed ACBM.
4. Touch and visually and physically reinspect all non-friable known or assumed ACBM to determine whether these materials have become friable since the last inspection or periodic surveillance.
5. Identify any condition changes that may affect Hazard ranking of known ACBM or Assumed ACBM, as well as any HA(s) that have become friable since the last reinspection.
6. Collect bulk samples from each newly discovered friable HA or previously assumed and submit for laboratory analysis.
7. Tabulate reinspection findings and submit for management planner review and recommendations with appropriate response actions based on the AHERA Damage Category of the ACBM.
8. Submit reinspection findings and management planner recommendations to the LEA within thirty (30) days for inclusion into the management plan.

### **IV. ABATEMENT HISTORY**

The information collected from the existing management plan and inspection report(s) and from interviews with the school official revealed that the following asbestos abatement was conducted at the school since the previous Three year Reinspection.

Abatement Dates:

### **V. REASSESSMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

The reassessments and recommendations are summarized in Tables I and II on the following pages. Detailed Hazard Assessment Sheets and Drawings and Photos (if applicable) are included in Appendix A.

### **VI. CONCLUSIONS**

The Building Three-Year reinspection has been completed and response actions have been recommended for both friable and non-friable ACBM. A timely execution of the response actions recommended will enable the LEA and the Designated Person(s) to effectively manage the existing ACBM.

This three-year reinspection report should be inserted in the current Management plan at the CPS Central Office, and one (1) copy should be kept at the school for use when planning any renovation and/or demolition activities in areas where ACBM has been identified. Also, periodic surveillance documentation and any summary reports from any response actions that are executed at the school should be used to update the Management Plan.

### **III. METHODOLOGY**

Tasks performed on-site included the following:

1. Review current management plan, identify HA(s) and extract appropriate information.
2. Examine and verify abatement records.
3. Touch and visually and physically reinspect and reassess the condition of all friable known or assumed ACBM.
4. Touch and visually and physically reinspect all non-friable known or assumed ACBM to determine whether these materials have become friable since the last inspection or periodic surveillance.
5. Identify any condition changes that may affect Hazard ranking of known ACBM or Assumed ACBM, as well as any HA(s) that have become friable since the last reinspection.
6. Collect bulk samples from each newly discovered friable HA or previously assumed and submit for laboratory analysis.
7. Tabulate reinspection findings and submit for management planner review and recommendations with appropriate response actions based on the AHERA Damage Category of the ACBM.
8. Submit reinspection findings and management planner recommendations to the LEA within thirty (30) days for inclusion into the management plan.

### **IV. ABATEMENT HISTORY**

The information collected from the existing management plan and inspection report(s) and from interviews with the school official revealed that the following asbestos abatement was conducted at the school since the previous Three year Reinspection.

Abatement Dates:

### **V. REASSESSMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

The reassessments and recommendations are summarized in Tables I and II on the following pages. Detailed Hazard Assessment Sheets and Drawings and Photos (if applicable) are included in Appendix A.

### **VI. CONCLUSIONS**

The Building Three-Year reinspection has been completed and response actions have been recommended for both friable and non-friable ACBM. A timely execution of the response actions recommended will enable the LEA and the Designated Person(s) to effectively manage the existing ACBM.

This three-year reinspection report should be inserted in the current Management plan at the CPS Central Office, and one (1) copy should be kept at the school for use when planning any renovation and/or demolition activities in areas where ACBM has been identified. Also, periodic surveillance documentation and any summary reports from any response actions that are executed at the school should be used to update the Management Plan.

### **III. METHODOLOGY**

Tasks performed on-site included the following:

1. Review current management plan, identify HA(s) and extract appropriate information.
2. Examine and verify abatement records.
3. Touch and visually and physically reinspect and reassess the condition of all friable known or assumed ACBM.
4. Touch and visually and physically reinspect all non-friable known or assumed ACBM to determine whether these materials have become friable since the last inspection or periodic surveillance.
5. Identify any condition changes that may affect Hazard ranking of known ACBM or Assumed ACBM, as well as any HA(s) that have become friable since the last reinspection.
6. Collect bulk samples from each newly discovered friable HA or previously assumed and submit for laboratory analysis.
7. Tabulate reinspection findings and submit for management planner review and recommendations with appropriate response actions based on the AHERA Damage Category of the ACBM.
8. Submit reinspection findings and management planner recommendations to the LEA within thirty (30) days for inclusion into the management plan.

### **IV. ABATEMENT HISTORY**

The information collected from the existing management plan and inspection report(s) and from interviews with the school official revealed that the following asbestos abatement was conducted at the school since the previous Three year Reinspection.

Abatement Dates:

### **V. REASSESSMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

The reassessments and recommendations are summarized in Tables I and II on the following pages. Detailed Hazard Assessment Sheets and Drawings and Photos (if applicable) are included in Appendix A.

### **VI. CONCLUSIONS**

The Building Three-Year reinspection has been completed and response actions have been recommended for both friable and non-friable ACBM. A timely execution of the response actions recommended will enable the LEA and the Designated Person(s) to effectively manage the existing ACBM.

This three-year reinspection report should be inserted in the current Management plan at the CPS Central Office, and one (1) copy should be kept at the school for use when planning any renovation and/or demolition activities in areas where ACBM has been identified. Also, periodic surveillance documentation and any summary reports from any response actions that are executed at the school should be used to update the Management Plan.

### **III. METHODOLOGY**

Tasks performed on-site included the following:

1. Review current management plan, identify HA(s) and extract appropriate information.
2. Examine and verify abatement records.
3. Touch and visually and physically reinspect and reassess the condition of all friable known or assumed ACBM.
4. Touch and visually and physically reinspect all non-friable known or assumed ACBM to determine whether these materials have become friable since the last inspection or periodic surveillance.
5. Identify any condition changes that may affect Hazard ranking of known ACBM or Assumed ACBM, as well as any HA(s) that have become friable since the last reinspection.
6. Collect bulk samples from each newly discovered friable HA or previously assumed and submit for laboratory analysis.
7. Tabulate reinspection findings and submit for management planner review and recommendations with appropriate response actions based on the AHERA Damage Category of the ACBM.
8. Submit reinspection findings and management planner recommendations to the LEA within thirty (30) days for inclusion into the management plan.

### **IV. ABATEMENT HISTORY**

The information collected from the existing management plan and inspection report(s) and from interviews with the school official revealed that the following asbestos abatement was conducted at the school since the previous Three year Reinspection.

Abatement Dates:

### **V. REASSESSMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

The reassessments and recommendations are summarized in Tables I and II on the following pages. Detailed Hazard Assessment Sheets and Drawings and Photos (if applicable) are included in Appendix A.

### **VI. CONCLUSIONS**

The Building Three-Year reinspection has been completed and response actions have been recommended for both friable and non-friable ACBM. A timely execution of the response actions recommended will enable the LEA and the Designated Person(s) to effectively manage the existing ACBM.

This three-year reinspection report should be inserted in the current Management plan at the CPS Central Office, and one (1) copy should be kept at the school for use when planning any renovation and/or demolition activities in areas where ACBM has been identified. Also, periodic surveillance documentation and any summary reports from any response actions that are executed at the school should be used to update the Management Plan.

### **III. METHODOLOGY**

Tasks performed on-site included the following:

1. Review current management plan, identify HA(s) and extract appropriate information.
2. Examine and verify abatement records.
3. Touch and visually and physically reinspect and reassess the condition of all friable known or assumed ACBM.
4. Touch and visually and physically reinspect all non-friable known or assumed ACBM to determine whether these materials have become friable since the last inspection or periodic surveillance.
5. Identify any condition changes that may affect Hazard ranking of known ACBM or Assumed ACBM, as well as any HA(s) that have become friable since the last reinspection.
6. Collect bulk samples from each newly discovered friable HA or previously assumed and submit for laboratory analysis.
7. Tabulate reinspection findings and submit for management planner review and recommendations with appropriate response actions based on the AHERA Damage Category of the ACBM.
8. Submit reinspection findings and management planner recommendations to the LEA within thirty (30) days for inclusion into the management plan.

### **IV. ABATEMENT HISTORY**

The information collected from the existing management plan and inspection report(s) and from interviews with the school official revealed that the following asbestos abatement was conducted at the school since the previous Three year Reinspection.

Abatement Dates:

### **V. REASSESSMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

The reassessments and recommendations are summarized in Tables I and II on the following pages. Detailed Hazard Assessment Sheets and Drawings and Photos (if applicable) are included in Appendix A.

### **VI. CONCLUSIONS**

The Building Three-Year reinspection has been completed and response actions have been recommended for both friable and non-friable ACBM. A timely execution of the response actions recommended will enable the LEA and the Designated Person(s) to effectively manage the existing ACBM.

This three-year reinspection report should be inserted in the current Management plan at the CPS Central Office, and one (1) copy should be kept at the school for use when planning any renovation and/or demolition activities in areas where ACBM has been identified. Also, periodic surveillance documentation and any summary reports from any response actions that are executed at the school should be used to update the Management Plan.

### **III. METHODOLOGY**

Tasks performed on-site included the following:

1. Review current management plan, identify HA(s) and extract appropriate information.
2. Examine and verify abatement records.
3. Touch and visually and physically reinspect and reassess the condition of all friable known or assumed ACBM.
4. Touch and visually and physically reinspect all non-friable known or assumed ACBM to determine whether these materials have become friable since the last inspection or periodic surveillance.
5. Identify any condition changes that may affect Hazard ranking of known ACBM or Assumed ACBM, as well as any HA(s) that have become friable since the last reinspection.
6. Collect bulk samples from each newly discovered friable HA or previously assumed and submit for laboratory analysis.
7. Tabulate reinspection findings and submit for management planner review and recommendations with appropriate response actions based on the AHERA Damage Category of the ACBM.
8. Submit reinspection findings and management planner recommendations to the LEA within thirty (30) days for inclusion into the management plan.

### **IV. ABATEMENT HISTORY**

The information collected from the existing management plan and inspection report(s) and from interviews with the school official revealed that the following asbestos abatement was conducted at the school since the previous Three year Reinspection.

Abatement Dates:

### **V. REASSESSMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

The reassessments and recommendations are summarized in Tables I and II on the following pages. Detailed Hazard Assessment Sheets and Drawings and Photos (if applicable) are included in Appendix A.

### **VI. CONCLUSIONS**

The Building Three-Year reinspection has been completed and response actions have been recommended for both friable and non-friable ACBM. A timely execution of the response actions recommended will enable the LEA and the Designated Person(s) to effectively manage the existing ACBM.

This three-year reinspection report should be inserted in the current Management plan at the CPS Central Office, and one (1) copy should be kept at the school for use when planning any renovation and/or demolition activities in areas where ACBM has been identified. Also, periodic surveillance documentation and any summary reports from any response actions that are executed at the school should be used to update the Management Plan.

### **III. METHODOLOGY**

Tasks performed on-site included the following:

1. Review current management plan, identify HA(s) and extract appropriate information.
2. Examine and verify abatement records.
3. Touch and visually and physically reinspect and reassess the condition of all friable known or assumed ACBM.
4. Touch and visually and physically reinspect all non-friable known or assumed ACBM to determine whether these materials have become friable since the last inspection or periodic surveillance.
5. Identify any condition changes that may affect Hazard ranking of known ACBM or Assumed ACBM, as well as any HA(s) that have become friable since the last reinspection.
6. Collect bulk samples from each newly discovered friable HA or previously assumed and submit for laboratory analysis.
7. Tabulate reinspection findings and submit for management planner review and recommendations with appropriate response actions based on the AHERA Damage Category of the ACBM.
8. Submit reinspection findings and management planner recommendations to the LEA within thirty (30) days for inclusion into the management plan.

### **IV. ABATEMENT HISTORY**

The information collected from the existing management plan and inspection report(s) and from interviews with the school official revealed that the following asbestos abatement was conducted at the school since the previous Three year Reinspection.

Abatement Dates:

### **V. REASSESSMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

The reassessments and recommendations are summarized in Tables I and II on the following pages. Detailed Hazard Assessment Sheets and Drawings and Photos (if applicable) are included in Appendix A.

### **VI. CONCLUSIONS**

The Building Three-Year reinspection has been completed and response actions have been recommended for both friable and non-friable ACBM. A timely execution of the response actions recommended will enable the LEA and the Designated Person(s) to effectively manage the existing ACBM.

This three-year reinspection report should be inserted in the current Management plan at the CPS Central Office, and one (1) copy should be kept at the school for use when planning any renovation and/or demolition activities in areas where ACBM has been identified. Also, periodic surveillance documentation and any summary reports from any response actions that are executed at the school should be used to update the Management Plan.

### **III. METHODOLOGY**

Tasks performed on-site included the following:

1. Review current management plan, identify HA(s) and extract appropriate information.
2. Examine and verify abatement records.
3. Touch and visually and physically reinspect and reassess the condition of all friable known or assumed ACBM.
4. Touch and visually and physically reinspect all non-friable known or assumed ACBM to determine whether these materials have become friable since the last inspection or periodic surveillance.
5. Identify any condition changes that may affect Hazard ranking of known ACBM or Assumed ACBM, as well as any HA(s) that have become friable since the last reinspection.
6. Collect bulk samples from each newly discovered friable HA or previously assumed and submit for laboratory analysis.
7. Tabulate reinspection findings and submit for management planner review and recommendations with appropriate response actions based on the AHERA Damage Category of the ACBM.
8. Submit reinspection findings and management planner recommendations to the LEA within thirty (30) days for inclusion into the management plan.

### **IV. ABATEMENT HISTORY**

The information collected from the existing management plan and inspection report(s) and from interviews with the school official revealed that the following asbestos abatement was conducted at the school since the previous Three year Reinspection.

Abatement Dates:

### **V. REASSESSMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

The reassessments and recommendations are summarized in Tables I and II on the following pages. Detailed Hazard Assessment Sheets and Drawings and Photos (if applicable) are included in Appendix A.

### **VI. CONCLUSIONS**

The Building Three-Year reinspection has been completed and response actions have been recommended for both friable and non-friable ACBM. A timely execution of the response actions recommended will enable the LEA and the Designated Person(s) to effectively manage the existing ACBM.

This three-year reinspection report should be inserted in the current Management plan at the CPS Central Office, and one (1) copy should be kept at the school for use when planning any renovation and/or demolition activities in areas where ACBM has been identified. Also, periodic surveillance documentation and any summary reports from any response actions that are executed at the school should be used to update the Management Plan.

### **III. METHODOLOGY**

Tasks performed on-site included the following:

1. Review current management plan, identify HA(s) and extract appropriate information.
2. Examine and verify abatement records.
3. Touch and visually and physically reinspect and reassess the condition of all friable known or assumed ACBM.
4. Touch and visually and physically reinspect all non-friable known or assumed ACBM to determine whether these materials have become friable since the last inspection or periodic surveillance.
5. Identify any condition changes that may affect Hazard ranking of known ACBM or Assumed ACBM, as well as any HA(s) that have become friable since the last reinspection.
6. Collect bulk samples from each newly discovered friable HA or previously assumed and submit for laboratory analysis.
7. Tabulate reinspection findings and submit for management planner review and recommendations with appropriate response actions based on the AHERA Damage Category of the ACBM.
8. Submit reinspection findings and management planner recommendations to the LEA within thirty (30) days for inclusion into the management plan.

### **IV. ABATEMENT HISTORY**

The information collected from the existing management plan and inspection report(s) and from interviews with the school official revealed that the following asbestos abatement was conducted at the school since the previous Three year Reinspection.

Abatement Dates:

### **V. REASSESSMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

The reassessments and recommendations are summarized in Tables I and II on the following pages. Detailed Hazard Assessment Sheets and Drawings and Photos (if applicable) are included in Appendix A.

### **VI. CONCLUSIONS**

The Building Three-Year reinspection has been completed and response actions have been recommended for both friable and non-friable ACBM. A timely execution of the response actions recommended will enable the LEA and the Designated Person(s) to effectively manage the existing ACBM.

This three-year reinspection report should be inserted in the current Management plan at the CPS Central Office, and one (1) copy should be kept at the school for use when planning any renovation and/or demolition activities in areas where ACBM has been identified. Also, periodic surveillance documentation and any summary reports from any response actions that are executed at the school should be used to update the Management Plan.

### **III. METHODOLOGY**

Tasks performed on-site included the following:

1. Review current management plan, identify HA(s) and extract appropriate information.
2. Examine and verify abatement records.
3. Touch and visually and physically reinspect and reassess the condition of all friable known or assumed ACBM.
4. Touch and visually and physically reinspect all non-friable known or assumed ACBM to determine whether these materials have become friable since the last inspection or periodic surveillance.
5. Identify any condition changes that may affect Hazard ranking of known ACBM or Assumed ACBM, as well as any HA(s) that have become friable since the last reinspection.
6. Collect bulk samples from each newly discovered friable HA or previously assumed and submit for laboratory analysis.
7. Tabulate reinspection findings and submit for management planner review and recommendations with appropriate response actions based on the AHERA Damage Category of the ACBM.
8. Submit reinspection findings and management planner recommendations to the LEA within thirty (30) days for inclusion into the management plan.

### **IV. ABATEMENT HISTORY**

The information collected from the existing management plan and inspection report(s) and from interviews with the school official revealed that the following asbestos abatement was conducted at the school since the previous Three year Reinspection.

Abatement Dates:

### **V. REASSESSMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

The reassessments and recommendations are summarized in Tables I and II on the following pages. Detailed Hazard Assessment Sheets and Drawings and Photos (if applicable) are included in Appendix A.

### **VI. CONCLUSIONS**

The Building Three-Year reinspection has been completed and response actions have been recommended for both friable and non-friable ACBM. A timely execution of the response actions recommended will enable the LEA and the Designated Person(s) to effectively manage the existing ACBM.

This three-year reinspection report should be inserted in the current Management plan at the CPS Central Office, and one (1) copy should be kept at the school for use when planning any renovation and/or demolition activities in areas where ACBM has been identified. Also, periodic surveillance documentation and any summary reports from any response actions that are executed at the school should be used to update the Management Plan.

### **III. METHODOLOGY**

Tasks performed on-site included the following:

1. Review current management plan, identify HA(s) and extract appropriate information.
2. Examine and verify abatement records.
3. Touch and visually and physically reinspect and reassess the condition of all friable known or assumed ACBM.
4. Touch and visually and physically reinspect all non-friable known or assumed ACBM to determine whether these materials have become friable since the last inspection or periodic surveillance.
5. Identify any condition changes that may affect Hazard ranking of known ACBM or Assumed ACBM, as well as any HA(s) that have become friable since the last reinspection.
6. Collect bulk samples from each newly discovered friable HA or previously assumed and submit for laboratory analysis.
7. Tabulate reinspection findings and submit for management planner review and recommendations with appropriate response actions based on the AHERA Damage Category of the ACBM.
8. Submit reinspection findings and management planner recommendations to the LEA within thirty (30) days for inclusion into the management plan.

### **IV. ABATEMENT HISTORY**

The information collected from the existing management plan and inspection report(s) and from interviews with the school official revealed that the following asbestos abatement was conducted at the school since the previous Three year Reinspection.

Abatement Dates:

### **V. REASSESSMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

The reassessments and recommendations are summarized in Tables I and II on the following pages. Detailed Hazard Assessment Sheets and Drawings and Photos (if applicable) are included in Appendix A.

### **VI. CONCLUSIONS**

The Building Three-Year reinspection has been completed and response actions have been recommended for both friable and non-friable ACBM. A timely execution of the response actions recommended will enable the LEA and the Designated Person(s) to effectively manage the existing ACBM.

This three-year reinspection report should be inserted in the current Management plan at the CPS Central Office, and one (1) copy should be kept at the school for use when planning any renovation and/or demolition activities in areas where ACBM has been identified. Also, periodic surveillance documentation and any summary reports from any response actions that are executed at the school should be used to update the Management Plan.

### **III. METHODOLOGY**

Tasks performed on-site included the following:

1. Review current management plan, identify HA(s) and extract appropriate information.
2. Examine and verify abatement records.
3. Touch and visually and physically reinspect and reassess the condition of all friable known or assumed ACBM.
4. Touch and visually and physically reinspect all non-friable known or assumed ACBM to determine whether these materials have become friable since the last inspection or periodic surveillance.
5. Identify any condition changes that may affect Hazard ranking of known ACBM or Assumed ACBM, as well as any HA(s) that have become friable since the last reinspection.
6. Collect bulk samples from each newly discovered friable HA or previously assumed and submit for laboratory analysis.
7. Tabulate reinspection findings and submit for management planner review and recommendations with appropriate response actions based on the AHERA Damage Category of the ACBM.
8. Submit reinspection findings and management planner recommendations to the LEA within thirty (30) days for inclusion into the management plan.

### **IV. ABATEMENT HISTORY**

The information collected from the existing management plan and inspection report(s) and from interviews with the school official revealed that the following asbestos abatement was conducted at the school since the previous Three year Reinspection.

Abatement Dates:

### **V. REASSESSMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

The reassessments and recommendations are summarized in Tables I and II on the following pages. Detailed Hazard Assessment Sheets and Drawings and Photos (if applicable) are included in Appendix A.

### **VI. CONCLUSIONS**

The Building Three-Year reinspection has been completed and response actions have been recommended for both friable and non-friable ACBM. A timely execution of the response actions recommended will enable the LEA and the Designated Person(s) to effectively manage the existing ACBM.

This three-year reinspection report should be inserted in the current Management plan at the CPS Central Office, and one (1) copy should be kept at the school for use when planning any renovation and/or demolition activities in areas where ACBM has been identified. Also, periodic surveillance documentation and any summary reports from any response actions that are executed at the school should be used to update the Management Plan.

### **III. METHODOLOGY**

Tasks performed on-site included the following:

1. Review current management plan, identify HA(s) and extract appropriate information.
2. Examine and verify abatement records.
3. Touch and visually and physically reinspect and reassess the condition of all friable known or assumed ACBM.
4. Touch and visually and physically reinspect all non-friable known or assumed ACBM to determine whether these materials have become friable since the last inspection or periodic surveillance.
5. Identify any condition changes that may affect Hazard ranking of known ACBM or Assumed ACBM, as well as any HA(s) that have become friable since the last reinspection.
6. Collect bulk samples from each newly discovered friable HA or previously assumed and submit for laboratory analysis.
7. Tabulate reinspection findings and submit for management planner review and recommendations with appropriate response actions based on the AHERA Damage Category of the ACBM.
8. Submit reinspection findings and management planner recommendations to the LEA within thirty (30) days for inclusion into the management plan.

### **IV. ABATEMENT HISTORY**

The information collected from the existing management plan and inspection report(s) and from interviews with the school official revealed that the following asbestos abatement was conducted at the school since the previous Three year Reinspection.

Abatement Dates:

### **V. REASSESSMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

The reassessments and recommendations are summarized in Tables I and II on the following pages. Detailed Hazard Assessment Sheets and Drawings and Photos (if applicable) are included in Appendix A.

### **VI. CONCLUSIONS**

The Building Three-Year reinspection has been completed and response actions have been recommended for both friable and non-friable ACBM. A timely execution of the response actions recommended will enable the LEA and the Designated Person(s) to effectively manage the existing ACBM.

This three-year reinspection report should be inserted in the current Management plan at the CPS Central Office, and one (1) copy should be kept at the school for use when planning any renovation and/or demolition activities in areas where ACBM has been identified. Also, periodic surveillance documentation and any summary reports from any response actions that are executed at the school should be used to update the Management Plan.

### **III. METHODOLOGY**

Tasks performed on-site included the following:

1. Review current management plan, identify HA(s) and extract appropriate information.
2. Examine and verify abatement records.
3. Touch and visually and physically reinspect and reassess the condition of all friable known or assumed ACBM.
4. Touch and visually and physically reinspect all non-friable known or assumed ACBM to determine whether these materials have become friable since the last inspection or periodic surveillance.
5. Identify any condition changes that may affect Hazard ranking of known ACBM or Assumed ACBM, as well as any HA(s) that have become friable since the last reinspection.
6. Collect bulk samples from each newly discovered friable HA or previously assumed and submit for laboratory analysis.
7. Tabulate reinspection findings and submit for management planner review and recommendations with appropriate response actions based on the AHERA Damage Category of the ACBM.
8. Submit reinspection findings and management planner recommendations to the LEA within thirty (30) days for inclusion into the management plan.

### **IV. ABATEMENT HISTORY**

The information collected from the existing management plan and inspection report(s) and from interviews with the school official revealed that the following asbestos abatement was conducted at the school since the previous Three year Reinspection.

Abatement Dates:

### **V. REASSESSMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

The reassessments and recommendations are summarized in Tables I and II on the following pages. Detailed Hazard Assessment Sheets and Drawings and Photos (if applicable) are included in Appendix A.

### **VI. CONCLUSIONS**

The Building Three-Year reinspection has been completed and response actions have been recommended for both friable and non-friable ACBM. A timely execution of the response actions recommended will enable the LEA and the Designated Person(s) to effectively manage the existing ACBM.

This three-year reinspection report should be inserted in the current Management plan at the CPS Central Office, and one (1) copy should be kept at the school for use when planning any renovation and/or demolition activities in areas where ACBM has been identified. Also, periodic surveillance documentation and any summary reports from any response actions that are executed at the school should be used to update the Management Plan.

### **III. METHODOLOGY**

Tasks performed on-site included the following:

1. Review current management plan, identify HA(s) and extract appropriate information.
2. Examine and verify abatement records.
3. Touch and visually and physically reinspect and reassess the condition of all friable known or assumed ACBM.
4. Touch and visually and physically reinspect all non-friable known or assumed ACBM to determine whether these materials have become friable since the last inspection or periodic surveillance.
5. Identify any condition changes that may affect Hazard ranking of known ACBM or Assumed ACBM, as well as any HA(s) that have become friable since the last reinspection.
6. Collect bulk samples from each newly discovered friable HA or previously assumed and submit for laboratory analysis.
7. Tabulate reinspection findings and submit for management planner review and recommendations with appropriate response actions based on the AHERA Damage Category of the ACBM.
8. Submit reinspection findings and management planner recommendations to the LEA within thirty (30) days for inclusion into the management plan.

### **IV. ABATEMENT HISTORY**

The information collected from the existing management plan and inspection report(s) and from interviews with the school official revealed that the following asbestos abatement was conducted at the school since the previous Three year Reinspection.

Abatement Dates:

### **V. REASSESSMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

The reassessments and recommendations are summarized in Tables I and II on the following pages. Detailed Hazard Assessment Sheets and Drawings and Photos (if applicable) are included in Appendix A.

### **VI. CONCLUSIONS**

The Building Three-Year reinspection has been completed and response actions have been recommended for both friable and non-friable ACBM. A timely execution of the response actions recommended will enable the LEA and the Designated Person(s) to effectively manage the existing ACBM.

This three-year reinspection report should be inserted in the current Management plan at the CPS Central Office, and one (1) copy should be kept at the school for use when planning any renovation and/or demolition activities in areas where ACBM has been identified. Also, periodic surveillance documentation and any summary reports from any response actions that are executed at the school should be used to update the Management Plan.

### **III. METHODOLOGY**

Tasks performed on-site included the following:

1. Review current management plan, identify HA(s) and extract appropriate information.
2. Examine and verify abatement records.
3. Touch and visually and physically reinspect and reassess the condition of all friable known or assumed ACBM.
4. Touch and visually and physically reinspect all non-friable known or assumed ACBM to determine whether these materials have become friable since the last inspection or periodic surveillance.
5. Identify any condition changes that may affect Hazard ranking of known ACBM or Assumed ACBM, as well as any HA(s) that have become friable since the last reinspection.
6. Collect bulk samples from each newly discovered friable HA or previously assumed and submit for laboratory analysis.
7. Tabulate reinspection findings and submit for management planner review and recommendations with appropriate response actions based on the AHERA Damage Category of the ACBM.
8. Submit reinspection findings and management planner recommendations to the LEA within thirty (30) days for inclusion into the management plan.

### **IV. ABATEMENT HISTORY**

The information collected from the existing management plan and inspection report(s) and from interviews with the school official revealed that the following asbestos abatement was conducted at the school since the previous Three year Reinspection.

Abatement Dates:

### **V. REASSESSMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

The reassessments and recommendations are summarized in Tables I and II on the following pages. Detailed Hazard Assessment Sheets and Drawings and Photos (if applicable) are included in Appendix A.

### **VI. CONCLUSIONS**

The Building Three-Year reinspection has been completed and response actions have been recommended for both friable and non-friable ACBM. A timely execution of the response actions recommended will enable the LEA and the Designated Person(s) to effectively manage the existing ACBM.

This three-year reinspection report should be inserted in the current Management plan at the CPS Central Office, and one (1) copy should be kept at the school for use when planning any renovation and/or demolition activities in areas where ACBM has been identified. Also, periodic surveillance documentation and any summary reports from any response actions that are executed at the school should be used to update the Management Plan.

### **III. METHODOLOGY**

Tasks performed on-site included the following:

1. Review current management plan, identify HA(s) and extract appropriate information.
2. Examine and verify abatement records.
3. Touch and visually and physically reinspect and reassess the condition of all friable known or assumed ACBM.
4. Touch and visually and physically reinspect all non-friable known or assumed ACBM to determine whether these materials have become friable since the last inspection or periodic surveillance.
5. Identify any condition changes that may affect Hazard ranking of known ACBM or Assumed ACBM, as well as any HA(s) that have become friable since the last reinspection.
6. Collect bulk samples from each newly discovered friable HA or previously assumed and submit for laboratory analysis.
7. Tabulate reinspection findings and submit for management planner review and recommendations with appropriate response actions based on the AHERA Damage Category of the ACBM.
8. Submit reinspection findings and management planner recommendations to the LEA within thirty (30) days for inclusion into the management plan.

### **IV. ABATEMENT HISTORY**

The information collected from the existing management plan and inspection report(s) and from interviews with the school official revealed that the following asbestos abatement was conducted at the school since the previous Three year Reinspection.

Abatement Dates:

### **V. REASSESSMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

The reassessments and recommendations are summarized in Tables I and II on the following pages. Detailed Hazard Assessment Sheets and Drawings and Photos (if applicable) are included in Appendix A.

### **VI. CONCLUSIONS**

The Building Three-Year reinspection has been completed and response actions have been recommended for both friable and non-friable ACBM. A timely execution of the response actions recommended will enable the LEA and the Designated Person(s) to effectively manage the existing ACBM.

This three-year reinspection report should be inserted in the current Management plan at the CPS Central Office, and one (1) copy should be kept at the school for use when planning any renovation and/or demolition activities in areas where ACBM has been identified. Also, periodic surveillance documentation and any summary reports from any response actions that are executed at the school should be used to update the Management Plan.

### **III. METHODOLOGY**

Tasks performed on-site included the following:

1. Review current management plan, identify HA(s) and extract appropriate information.
2. Examine and verify abatement records.
3. Touch and visually and physically reinspect and reassess the condition of all friable known or assumed ACBM.
4. Touch and visually and physically reinspect all non-friable known or assumed ACBM to determine whether these materials have become friable since the last inspection or periodic surveillance.
5. Identify any condition changes that may affect Hazard ranking of known ACBM or Assumed ACBM, as well as any HA(s) that have become friable since the last reinspection.
6. Collect bulk samples from each newly discovered friable HA or previously assumed and submit for laboratory analysis.
7. Tabulate reinspection findings and submit for management planner review and recommendations with appropriate response actions based on the AHERA Damage Category of the ACBM.
8. Submit reinspection findings and management planner recommendations to the LEA within thirty (30) days for inclusion into the management plan.

### **IV. ABATEMENT HISTORY**

The information collected from the existing management plan and inspection report(s) and from interviews with the school official revealed that the following asbestos abatement was conducted at the school since the previous Three year Reinspection.

Abatement Dates:

### **V. REASSESSMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

The reassessments and recommendations are summarized in Tables I and II on the following pages. Detailed Hazard Assessment Sheets and Drawings and Photos (if applicable) are included in Appendix A.

### **VI. CONCLUSIONS**

The Building Three-Year reinspection has been completed and response actions have been recommended for both friable and non-friable ACBM. A timely execution of the response actions recommended will enable the LEA and the Designated Person(s) to effectively manage the existing ACBM.

This three-year reinspection report should be inserted in the current Management plan at the CPS Central Office, and one (1) copy should be kept at the school for use when planning any renovation and/or demolition activities in areas where ACBM has been identified. Also, periodic surveillance documentation and any summary reports from any response actions that are executed at the school should be used to update the Management Plan.

### **III. METHODOLOGY**

Tasks performed on-site included the following:

1. Review current management plan, identify HA(s) and extract appropriate information.
2. Examine and verify abatement records.
3. Touch and visually and physically reinspect and reassess the condition of all friable known or assumed ACBM.
4. Touch and visually and physically reinspect all non-friable known or assumed ACBM to determine whether these materials have become friable since the last inspection or periodic surveillance.
5. Identify any condition changes that may affect Hazard ranking of known ACBM or Assumed ACBM, as well as any HA(s) that have become friable since the last reinspection.
6. Collect bulk samples from each newly discovered friable HA or previously assumed and submit for laboratory analysis.
7. Tabulate reinspection findings and submit for management planner review and recommendations with appropriate response actions based on the AHERA Damage Category of the ACBM.
8. Submit reinspection findings and management planner recommendations to the LEA within thirty (30) days for inclusion into the management plan.

### **IV. ABATEMENT HISTORY**

The information collected from the existing management plan and inspection report(s) and from interviews with the school official revealed that the following asbestos abatement was conducted at the school since the previous Three year Reinspection.

Abatement Dates:

### **V. REASSESSMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

The reassessments and recommendations are summarized in Tables I and II on the following pages. Detailed Hazard Assessment Sheets and Drawings and Photos (if applicable) are included in Appendix A.

### **VI. CONCLUSIONS**

The Building Three-Year reinspection has been completed and response actions have been recommended for both friable and non-friable ACBM. A timely execution of the response actions recommended will enable the LEA and the Designated Person(s) to effectively manage the existing ACBM.

This three-year reinspection report should be inserted in the current Management plan at the CPS Central Office, and one (1) copy should be kept at the school for use when planning any renovation and/or demolition activities in areas where ACBM has been identified. Also, periodic surveillance documentation and any summary reports from any response actions that are executed at the school should be used to update the Management Plan.

### **III. METHODOLOGY**

Tasks performed on-site included the following:

1. Review current management plan, identify HA(s) and extract appropriate information.
2. Examine and verify abatement records.
3. Touch and visually and physically reinspect and reassess the condition of all friable known or assumed ACBM.
4. Touch and visually and physically reinspect all non-friable known or assumed ACBM to determine whether these materials have become friable since the last inspection or periodic surveillance.
5. Identify any condition changes that may affect Hazard ranking of known ACBM or Assumed ACBM, as well as any HA(s) that have become friable since the last reinspection.
6. Collect bulk samples from each newly discovered friable HA or previously assumed and submit for laboratory analysis.
7. Tabulate reinspection findings and submit for management planner review and recommendations with appropriate response actions based on the AHERA Damage Category of the ACBM.
8. Submit reinspection findings and management planner recommendations to the LEA within thirty (30) days for inclusion into the management plan.

### **IV. ABATEMENT HISTORY**

The information collected from the existing management plan and inspection report(s) and from interviews with the school official revealed that the following asbestos abatement was conducted at the school since the previous Three year Reinspection.

Abatement Dates:

### **V. REASSESSMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

The reassessments and recommendations are summarized in Tables I and II on the following pages. Detailed Hazard Assessment Sheets and Drawings and Photos (if applicable) are included in Appendix A.

### **VI. CONCLUSIONS**

The Building Three-Year reinspection has been completed and response actions have been recommended for both friable and non-friable ACBM. A timely execution of the response actions recommended will enable the LEA and the Designated Person(s) to effectively manage the existing ACBM.

This three-year reinspection report should be inserted in the current Management plan at the CPS Central Office, and one (1) copy should be kept at the school for use when planning any renovation and/or demolition activities in areas where ACBM has been identified. Also, periodic surveillance documentation and any summary reports from any response actions that are executed at the school should be used to update the Management Plan.

### **III. METHODOLOGY**

Tasks performed on-site included the following:

1. Review current management plan, identify HA(s) and extract appropriate information.
2. Examine and verify abatement records.
3. Touch and visually and physically reinspect and reassess the condition of all friable known or assumed ACBM.
4. Touch and visually and physically reinspect all non-friable known or assumed ACBM to determine whether these materials have become friable since the last inspection or periodic surveillance.
5. Identify any condition changes that may affect Hazard ranking of known ACBM or Assumed ACBM, as well as any HA(s) that have become friable since the last reinspection.
6. Collect bulk samples from each newly discovered friable HA or previously assumed and submit for laboratory analysis.
7. Tabulate reinspection findings and submit for management planner review and recommendations with appropriate response actions based on the AHERA Damage Category of the ACBM.
8. Submit reinspection findings and management planner recommendations to the LEA within thirty (30) days for inclusion into the management plan.

### **IV. ABATEMENT HISTORY**

The information collected from the existing management plan and inspection report(s) and from interviews with the school official revealed that the following asbestos abatement was conducted at the school since the previous Three year Reinspection.

Abatement Dates:

### **V. REASSESSMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

The reassessments and recommendations are summarized in Tables I and II on the following pages. Detailed Hazard Assessment Sheets and Drawings and Photos (if applicable) are included in Appendix A.

### **VI. CONCLUSIONS**

The Building Three-Year reinspection has been completed and response actions have been recommended for both friable and non-friable ACBM. A timely execution of the response actions recommended will enable the LEA and the Designated Person(s) to effectively manage the existing ACBM.

This three-year reinspection report should be inserted in the current Management plan at the CPS Central Office, and one (1) copy should be kept at the school for use when planning any renovation and/or demolition activities in areas where ACBM has been identified. Also, periodic surveillance documentation and any summary reports from any response actions that are executed at the school should be used to update the Management Plan.

### **III. METHODOLOGY**

Tasks performed on-site included the following:

1. Review current management plan, identify HA(s) and extract appropriate information.
2. Examine and verify abatement records.
3. Touch and visually and physically reinspect and reassess the condition of all friable known or assumed ACBM.
4. Touch and visually and physically reinspect all non-friable known or assumed ACBM to determine whether these materials have become friable since the last inspection or periodic surveillance.
5. Identify any condition changes that may affect Hazard ranking of known ACBM or Assumed ACBM, as well as any HA(s) that have become friable since the last reinspection.
6. Collect bulk samples from each newly discovered friable HA or previously assumed and submit for laboratory analysis.
7. Tabulate reinspection findings and submit for management planner review and recommendations with appropriate response actions based on the AHERA Damage Category of the ACBM.
8. Submit reinspection findings and management planner recommendations to the LEA within thirty (30) days for inclusion into the management plan.

### **IV. ABATEMENT HISTORY**

The information collected from the existing management plan and inspection report(s) and from interviews with the school official revealed that the following asbestos abatement was conducted at the school since the previous Three year Reinspection.

Abatement Dates:

### **V. REASSESSMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

The reassessments and recommendations are summarized in Tables I and II on the following pages. Detailed Hazard Assessment Sheets and Drawings and Photos (if applicable) are included in Appendix A.

### **VI. CONCLUSIONS**

The Building Three-Year reinspection has been completed and response actions have been recommended for both friable and non-friable ACBM. A timely execution of the response actions recommended will enable the LEA and the Designated Person(s) to effectively manage the existing ACBM.

This three-year reinspection report should be inserted in the current Management plan at the CPS Central Office, and one (1) copy should be kept at the school for use when planning any renovation and/or demolition activities in areas where ACBM has been identified. Also, periodic surveillance documentation and any summary reports from any response actions that are executed at the school should be used to update the Management Plan.

### **III. METHODOLOGY**

Tasks performed on-site included the following:

1. Review current management plan, identify HA(s) and extract appropriate information.
2. Examine and verify abatement records.
3. Touch and visually and physically reinspect and reassess the condition of all friable known or assumed ACBM.
4. Touch and visually and physically reinspect all non-friable known or assumed ACBM to determine whether these materials have become friable since the last inspection or periodic surveillance.
5. Identify any condition changes that may affect Hazard ranking of known ACBM or Assumed ACBM, as well as any HA(s) that have become friable since the last reinspection.
6. Collect bulk samples from each newly discovered friable HA or previously assumed and submit for laboratory analysis.
7. Tabulate reinspection findings and submit for management planner review and recommendations with appropriate response actions based on the AHERA Damage Category of the ACBM.
8. Submit reinspection findings and management planner recommendations to the LEA within thirty (30) days for inclusion into the management plan.

### **IV. ABATEMENT HISTORY**

The information collected from the existing management plan and inspection report(s) and from interviews with the school official revealed that the following asbestos abatement was conducted at the school since the previous Three year Reinspection.

Abatement Dates:

### **V. REASSESSMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

The reassessments and recommendations are summarized in Tables I and II on the following pages. Detailed Hazard Assessment Sheets and Drawings and Photos (if applicable) are included in Appendix A.

### **VI. CONCLUSIONS**

The Building Three-Year reinspection has been completed and response actions have been recommended for both friable and non-friable ACBM. A timely execution of the response actions recommended will enable the LEA and the Designated Person(s) to effectively manage the existing ACBM.

This three-year reinspection report should be inserted in the current Management plan at the CPS Central Office, and one (1) copy should be kept at the school for use when planning any renovation and/or demolition activities in areas where ACBM has been identified. Also, periodic surveillance documentation and any summary reports from any response actions that are executed at the school should be used to update the Management Plan.

### **III. METHODOLOGY**

Tasks performed on-site included the following:

1. Review current management plan, identify HA(s) and extract appropriate information.
2. Examine and verify abatement records.
3. Touch and visually and physically reinspect and reassess the condition of all friable known or assumed ACBM.
4. Touch and visually and physically reinspect all non-friable known or assumed ACBM to determine whether these materials have become friable since the last inspection or periodic surveillance.
5. Identify any condition changes that may affect Hazard ranking of known ACBM or Assumed ACBM, as well as any HA(s) that have become friable since the last reinspection.
6. Collect bulk samples from each newly discovered friable HA or previously assumed and submit for laboratory analysis.
7. Tabulate reinspection findings and submit for management planner review and recommendations with appropriate response actions based on the AHERA Damage Category of the ACBM.
8. Submit reinspection findings and management planner recommendations to the LEA within thirty (30) days for inclusion into the management plan.

### **IV. ABATEMENT HISTORY**

The information collected from the existing management plan and inspection report(s) and from interviews with the school official revealed that the following asbestos abatement was conducted at the school since the previous Three year Reinspection.

Abatement Dates:

### **V. REASSESSMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

The reassessments and recommendations are summarized in Tables I and II on the following pages. Detailed Hazard Assessment Sheets and Drawings and Photos (if applicable) are included in Appendix A.

### **VI. CONCLUSIONS**

The Building Three-Year reinspection has been completed and response actions have been recommended for both friable and non-friable ACBM. A timely execution of the response actions recommended will enable the LEA and the Designated Person(s) to effectively manage the existing ACBM.

This three-year reinspection report should be inserted in the current Management plan at the CPS Central Office, and one (1) copy should be kept at the school for use when planning any renovation and/or demolition activities in areas where ACBM has been identified. Also, periodic surveillance documentation and any summary reports from any response actions that are executed at the school should be used to update the Management Plan.

### **III. METHODOLOGY**

Tasks performed on-site included the following:

1. Review current management plan, identify HA(s) and extract appropriate information.
2. Examine and verify abatement records.
3. Touch and visually and physically reinspect and reassess the condition of all friable known or assumed ACBM.
4. Touch and visually and physically reinspect all non-friable known or assumed ACBM to determine whether these materials have become friable since the last inspection or periodic surveillance.
5. Identify any condition changes that may affect Hazard ranking of known ACBM or Assumed ACBM, as well as any HA(s) that have become friable since the last reinspection.
6. Collect bulk samples from each newly discovered friable HA or previously assumed and submit for laboratory analysis.
7. Tabulate reinspection findings and submit for management planner review and recommendations with appropriate response actions based on the AHERA Damage Category of the ACBM.
8. Submit reinspection findings and management planner recommendations to the LEA within thirty (30) days for inclusion into the management plan.

### **IV. ABATEMENT HISTORY**

The information collected from the existing management plan and inspection report(s) and from interviews with the school official revealed that the following asbestos abatement was conducted at the school since the previous Three year Reinspection.

Abatement Dates:

### **V. REASSESSMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

The reassessments and recommendations are summarized in Tables I and II on the following pages. Detailed Hazard Assessment Sheets and Drawings and Photos (if applicable) are included in Appendix A.

### **VI. CONCLUSIONS**

The Building Three-Year reinspection has been completed and response actions have been recommended for both friable and non-friable ACBM. A timely execution of the response actions recommended will enable the LEA and the Designated Person(s) to effectively manage the existing ACBM.

This three-year reinspection report should be inserted in the current Management plan at the CPS Central Office, and one (1) copy should be kept at the school for use when planning any renovation and/or demolition activities in areas where ACBM has been identified. Also, periodic surveillance documentation and any summary reports from any response actions that are executed at the school should be used to update the Management Plan.

### **III. METHODOLOGY**

Tasks performed on-site included the following:

1. Review current management plan, identify HA(s) and extract appropriate information.
2. Examine and verify abatement records.
3. Touch and visually and physically reinspect and reassess the condition of all friable known or assumed ACBM.
4. Touch and visually and physically reinspect all non-friable known or assumed ACBM to determine whether these materials have become friable since the last inspection or periodic surveillance.
5. Identify any condition changes that may affect Hazard ranking of known ACBM or Assumed ACBM, as well as any HA(s) that have become friable since the last reinspection.
6. Collect bulk samples from each newly discovered friable HA or previously assumed and submit for laboratory analysis.
7. Tabulate reinspection findings and submit for management planner review and recommendations with appropriate response actions based on the AHERA Damage Category of the ACBM.
8. Submit reinspection findings and management planner recommendations to the LEA within thirty (30) days for inclusion into the management plan.

### **IV. ABATEMENT HISTORY**

The information collected from the existing management plan and inspection report(s) and from interviews with the school official revealed that the following asbestos abatement was conducted at the school since the previous Three year Reinspection.

Abatement Dates:

### **V. REASSESSMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

The reassessments and recommendations are summarized in Tables I and II on the following pages. Detailed Hazard Assessment Sheets and Drawings and Photos (if applicable) are included in Appendix A.

### **VI. CONCLUSIONS**

The Building Three-Year reinspection has been completed and response actions have been recommended for both friable and non-friable ACBM. A timely execution of the response actions recommended will enable the LEA and the Designated Person(s) to effectively manage the existing ACBM.

This three-year reinspection report should be inserted in the current Management plan at the CPS Central Office, and one (1) copy should be kept at the school for use when planning any renovation and/or demolition activities in areas where ACBM has been identified. Also, periodic surveillance documentation and any summary reports from any response actions that are executed at the school should be used to update the Management Plan.

### **III. METHODOLOGY**

Tasks performed on-site included the following:

1. Review current management plan, identify HA(s) and extract appropriate information.
2. Examine and verify abatement records.
3. Touch and visually and physically reinspect and reassess the condition of all friable known or assumed ACBM.
4. Touch and visually and physically reinspect all non-friable known or assumed ACBM to determine whether these materials have become friable since the last inspection or periodic surveillance.
5. Identify any condition changes that may affect Hazard ranking of known ACBM or Assumed ACBM, as well as any HA(s) that have become friable since the last reinspection.
6. Collect bulk samples from each newly discovered friable HA or previously assumed and submit for laboratory analysis.
7. Tabulate reinspection findings and submit for management planner review and recommendations with appropriate response actions based on the AHERA Damage Category of the ACBM.
8. Submit reinspection findings and management planner recommendations to the LEA within thirty (30) days for inclusion into the management plan.

### **IV. ABATEMENT HISTORY**

The information collected from the existing management plan and inspection report(s) and from interviews with the school official revealed that the following asbestos abatement was conducted at the school since the previous Three year Reinspection.

Abatement Dates:

### **V. REASSESSMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

The reassessments and recommendations are summarized in Tables I and II on the following pages. Detailed Hazard Assessment Sheets and Drawings and Photos (if applicable) are included in Appendix A.

### **VI. CONCLUSIONS**

The Building Three-Year reinspection has been completed and response actions have been recommended for both friable and non-friable ACBM. A timely execution of the response actions recommended will enable the LEA and the Designated Person(s) to effectively manage the existing ACBM.

This three-year reinspection report should be inserted in the current Management plan at the CPS Central Office, and one (1) copy should be kept at the school for use when planning any renovation and/or demolition activities in areas where ACBM has been identified. Also, periodic surveillance documentation and any summary reports from any response actions that are executed at the school should be used to update the Management Plan.

### **III. METHODOLOGY**

Tasks performed on-site included the following:

1. Review current management plan, identify HA(s) and extract appropriate information.
2. Examine and verify abatement records.
3. Touch and visually and physically reinspect and reassess the condition of all friable known or assumed ACBM.
4. Touch and visually and physically reinspect all non-friable known or assumed ACBM to determine whether these materials have become friable since the last inspection or periodic surveillance.
5. Identify any condition changes that may affect Hazard ranking of known ACBM or Assumed ACBM, as well as any HA(s) that have become friable since the last reinspection.
6. Collect bulk samples from each newly discovered friable HA or previously assumed and submit for laboratory analysis.
7. Tabulate reinspection findings and submit for management planner review and recommendations with appropriate response actions based on the AHERA Damage Category of the ACBM.
8. Submit reinspection findings and management planner recommendations to the LEA within thirty (30) days for inclusion into the management plan.

### **IV. ABATEMENT HISTORY**

The information collected from the existing management plan and inspection report(s) and from interviews with the school official revealed that the following asbestos abatement was conducted at the school since the previous Three year Reinspection.

Abatement Dates:

### **V. REASSESSMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

The reassessments and recommendations are summarized in Tables I and II on the following pages. Detailed Hazard Assessment Sheets and Drawings and Photos (if applicable) are included in Appendix A.

### **VI. CONCLUSIONS**

The Building Three-Year reinspection has been completed and response actions have been recommended for both friable and non-friable ACBM. A timely execution of the response actions recommended will enable the LEA and the Designated Person(s) to effectively manage the existing ACBM.

This three-year reinspection report should be inserted in the current Management plan at the CPS Central Office, and one (1) copy should be kept at the school for use when planning any renovation and/or demolition activities in areas where ACBM has been identified. Also, periodic surveillance documentation and any summary reports from any response actions that are executed at the school should be used to update the Management Plan.

### **III. METHODOLOGY**

Tasks performed on-site included the following:

1. Review current management plan, identify HA(s) and extract appropriate information.
2. Examine and verify abatement records.
3. Touch and visually and physically reinspect and reassess the condition of all friable known or assumed ACBM.
4. Touch and visually and physically reinspect all non-friable known or assumed ACBM to determine whether these materials have become friable since the last inspection or periodic surveillance.
5. Identify any condition changes that may affect Hazard ranking of known ACBM or Assumed ACBM, as well as any HA(s) that have become friable since the last reinspection.
6. Collect bulk samples from each newly discovered friable HA or previously assumed and submit for laboratory analysis.
7. Tabulate reinspection findings and submit for management planner review and recommendations with appropriate response actions based on the AHERA Damage Category of the ACBM.
8. Submit reinspection findings and management planner recommendations to the LEA within thirty (30) days for inclusion into the management plan.

### **IV. ABATEMENT HISTORY**

The information collected from the existing management plan and inspection report(s) and from interviews with the school official revealed that the following asbestos abatement was conducted at the school since the previous Three year Reinspection.

Abatement Dates:

### **V. REASSESSMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

The reassessments and recommendations are summarized in Tables I and II on the following pages. Detailed Hazard Assessment Sheets and Drawings and Photos (if applicable) are included in Appendix A.

### **VI. CONCLUSIONS**

The Building Three-Year reinspection has been completed and response actions have been recommended for both friable and non-friable ACBM. A timely execution of the response actions recommended will enable the LEA and the Designated Person(s) to effectively manage the existing ACBM.

This three-year reinspection report should be inserted in the current Management plan at the CPS Central Office, and one (1) copy should be kept at the school for use when planning any renovation and/or demolition activities in areas where ACBM has been identified. Also, periodic surveillance documentation and any summary reports from any response actions that are executed at the school should be used to update the Management Plan.

### **III. METHODOLOGY**

Tasks performed on-site included the following:

1. Review current management plan, identify HA(s) and extract appropriate information.
2. Examine and verify abatement records.
3. Touch and visually and physically reinspect and reassess the condition of all friable known or assumed ACBM.
4. Touch and visually and physically reinspect all non-friable known or assumed ACBM to determine whether these materials have become friable since the last inspection or periodic surveillance.
5. Identify any condition changes that may affect Hazard ranking of known ACBM or Assumed ACBM, as well as any HA(s) that have become friable since the last reinspection.
6. Collect bulk samples from each newly discovered friable HA or previously assumed and submit for laboratory analysis.
7. Tabulate reinspection findings and submit for management planner review and recommendations with appropriate response actions based on the AHERA Damage Category of the ACBM.
8. Submit reinspection findings and management planner recommendations to the LEA within thirty (30) days for inclusion into the management plan.

### **IV. ABATEMENT HISTORY**

The information collected from the existing management plan and inspection report(s) and from interviews with the school official revealed that the following asbestos abatement was conducted at the school since the previous Three year Reinspection.

Abatement Dates:

### **V. REASSESSMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

The reassessments and recommendations are summarized in Tables I and II on the following pages. Detailed Hazard Assessment Sheets and Drawings and Photos (if applicable) are included in Appendix A.

### **VI. CONCLUSIONS**

The Building Three-Year reinspection has been completed and response actions have been recommended for both friable and non-friable ACBM. A timely execution of the response actions recommended will enable the LEA and the Designated Person(s) to effectively manage the existing ACBM.

This three-year reinspection report should be inserted in the current Management plan at the CPS Central Office, and one (1) copy should be kept at the school for use when planning any renovation and/or demolition activities in areas where ACBM has been identified. Also, periodic surveillance documentation and any summary reports from any response actions that are executed at the school should be used to update the Management Plan.

### **III. METHODOLOGY**

Tasks performed on-site included the following:

1. Review current management plan, identify HA(s) and extract appropriate information.
2. Examine and verify abatement records.
3. Touch and visually and physically reinspect and reassess the condition of all friable known or assumed ACBM.
4. Touch and visually and physically reinspect all non-friable known or assumed ACBM to determine whether these materials have become friable since the last inspection or periodic surveillance.
5. Identify any condition changes that may affect Hazard ranking of known ACBM or Assumed ACBM, as well as any HA(s) that have become friable since the last reinspection.
6. Collect bulk samples from each newly discovered friable HA or previously assumed and submit for laboratory analysis.
7. Tabulate reinspection findings and submit for management planner review and recommendations with appropriate response actions based on the AHERA Damage Category of the ACBM.
8. Submit reinspection findings and management planner recommendations to the LEA within thirty (30) days for inclusion into the management plan.

### **IV. ABATEMENT HISTORY**

The information collected from the existing management plan and inspection report(s) and from interviews with the school official revealed that the following asbestos abatement was conducted at the school since the previous Three year Reinspection.

Abatement Dates:

### **V. REASSESSMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

The reassessments and recommendations are summarized in Tables I and II on the following pages. Detailed Hazard Assessment Sheets and Drawings and Photos (if applicable) are included in Appendix A.

### **VI. CONCLUSIONS**

The Building Three-Year reinspection has been completed and response actions have been recommended for both friable and non-friable ACBM. A timely execution of the response actions recommended will enable the LEA and the Designated Person(s) to effectively manage the existing ACBM.

This three-year reinspection report should be inserted in the current Management plan at the CPS Central Office, and one (1) copy should be kept at the school for use when planning any renovation and/or demolition activities in areas where ACBM has been identified. Also, periodic surveillance documentation and any summary reports from any response actions that are executed at the school should be used to update the Management Plan.

### **III. METHODOLOGY**

Tasks performed on-site included the following:

1. Review current management plan, identify HA(s) and extract appropriate information.
2. Examine and verify abatement records.
3. Touch and visually and physically reinspect and reassess the condition of all friable known or assumed ACBM.
4. Touch and visually and physically reinspect all non-friable known or assumed ACBM to determine whether these materials have become friable since the last inspection or periodic surveillance.
5. Identify any condition changes that may affect Hazard ranking of known ACBM or Assumed ACBM, as well as any HA(s) that have become friable since the last reinspection.
6. Collect bulk samples from each newly discovered friable HA or previously assumed and submit for laboratory analysis.
7. Tabulate reinspection findings and submit for management planner review and recommendations with appropriate response actions based on the AHERA Damage Category of the ACBM.
8. Submit reinspection findings and management planner recommendations to the LEA within thirty (30) days for inclusion into the management plan.

### **IV. ABATEMENT HISTORY**

The information collected from the existing management plan and inspection report(s) and from interviews with the school official revealed that the following asbestos abatement was conducted at the school since the previous Three year Reinspection.

Abatement Dates:

### **V. REASSESSMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

The reassessments and recommendations are summarized in Tables I and II on the following pages. Detailed Hazard Assessment Sheets and Drawings and Photos (if applicable) are included in Appendix A.

### **VI. CONCLUSIONS**

The Building Three-Year reinspection has been completed and response actions have been recommended for both friable and non-friable ACBM. A timely execution of the response actions recommended will enable the LEA and the Designated Person(s) to effectively manage the existing ACBM.

This three-year reinspection report should be inserted in the current Management plan at the CPS Central Office, and one (1) copy should be kept at the school for use when planning any renovation and/or demolition activities in areas where ACBM has been identified. Also, periodic surveillance documentation and any summary reports from any response actions that are executed at the school should be used to update the Management Plan.

### **III. METHODOLOGY**

Tasks performed on-site included the following:

1. Review current management plan, identify HA(s) and extract appropriate information.
2. Examine and verify abatement records.
3. Touch and visually and physically reinspect and reassess the condition of all friable known or assumed ACBM.
4. Touch and visually and physically reinspect all non-friable known or assumed ACBM to determine whether these materials have become friable since the last inspection or periodic surveillance.
5. Identify any condition changes that may affect Hazard ranking of known ACBM or Assumed ACBM, as well as any HA(s) that have become friable since the last reinspection.
6. Collect bulk samples from each newly discovered friable HA or previously assumed and submit for laboratory analysis.
7. Tabulate reinspection findings and submit for management planner review and recommendations with appropriate response actions based on the AHERA Damage Category of the ACBM.
8. Submit reinspection findings and management planner recommendations to the LEA within thirty (30) days for inclusion into the management plan.

### **IV. ABATEMENT HISTORY**

The information collected from the existing management plan and inspection report(s) and from interviews with the school official revealed that the following asbestos abatement was conducted at the school since the previous Three year Reinspection.

Abatement Dates:

### **V. REASSESSMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

The reassessments and recommendations are summarized in Tables I and II on the following pages. Detailed Hazard Assessment Sheets and Drawings and Photos (if applicable) are included in Appendix A.

### **VI. CONCLUSIONS**

The Building Three-Year reinspection has been completed and response actions have been recommended for both friable and non-friable ACBM. A timely execution of the response actions recommended will enable the LEA and the Designated Person(s) to effectively manage the existing ACBM.

This three-year reinspection report should be inserted in the current Management plan at the CPS Central Office, and one (1) copy should be kept at the school for use when planning any renovation and/or demolition activities in areas where ACBM has been identified. Also, periodic surveillance documentation and any summary reports from any response actions that are executed at the school should be used to update the Management Plan.

### **III. METHODOLOGY**

Tasks performed on-site included the following:

1. Review current management plan, identify HA(s) and extract appropriate information.
2. Examine and verify abatement records.
3. Touch and visually and physically reinspect and reassess the condition of all friable known or assumed ACBM.
4. Touch and visually and physically reinspect all non-friable known or assumed ACBM to determine whether these materials have become friable since the last inspection or periodic surveillance.
5. Identify any condition changes that may affect Hazard ranking of known ACBM or Assumed ACBM, as well as any HA(s) that have become friable since the last reinspection.
6. Collect bulk samples from each newly discovered friable HA or previously assumed and submit for laboratory analysis.
7. Tabulate reinspection findings and submit for management planner review and recommendations with appropriate response actions based on the AHERA Damage Category of the ACBM.
8. Submit reinspection findings and management planner recommendations to the LEA within thirty (30) days for inclusion into the management plan.

### **IV. ABATEMENT HISTORY**

The information collected from the existing management plan and inspection report(s) and from interviews with the school official revealed that the following asbestos abatement was conducted at the school since the previous Three year Reinspection.

Abatement Dates:

### **V. REASSESSMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

The reassessments and recommendations are summarized in Tables I and II on the following pages. Detailed Hazard Assessment Sheets and Drawings and Photos (if applicable) are included in Appendix A.

### **VI. CONCLUSIONS**

The Building Three-Year reinspection has been completed and response actions have been recommended for both friable and non-friable ACBM. A timely execution of the response actions recommended will enable the LEA and the Designated Person(s) to effectively manage the existing ACBM.

This three-year reinspection report should be inserted in the current Management plan at the CPS Central Office, and one (1) copy should be kept at the school for use when planning any renovation and/or demolition activities in areas where ACBM has been identified. Also, periodic surveillance documentation and any summary reports from any response actions that are executed at the school should be used to update the Management Plan.

### **III. METHODOLOGY**

Tasks performed on-site included the following:

1. Review current management plan, identify HA(s) and extract appropriate information.
2. Examine and verify abatement records.
3. Touch and visually and physically reinspect and reassess the condition of all friable known or assumed ACBM.
4. Touch and visually and physically reinspect all non-friable known or assumed ACBM to determine whether these materials have become friable since the last inspection or periodic surveillance.
5. Identify any condition changes that may affect Hazard ranking of known ACBM or Assumed ACBM, as well as any HA(s) that have become friable since the last reinspection.
6. Collect bulk samples from each newly discovered friable HA or previously assumed and submit for laboratory analysis.
7. Tabulate reinspection findings and submit for management planner review and recommendations with appropriate response actions based on the AHERA Damage Category of the ACBM.
8. Submit reinspection findings and management planner recommendations to the LEA within thirty (30) days for inclusion into the management plan.

### **IV. ABATEMENT HISTORY**

The information collected from the existing management plan and inspection report(s) and from interviews with the school official revealed that the following asbestos abatement was conducted at the school since the previous Three year Reinspection.

Abatement Dates:

### **V. REASSESSMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

The reassessments and recommendations are summarized in Tables I and II on the following pages. Detailed Hazard Assessment Sheets and Drawings and Photos (if applicable) are included in Appendix A.

### **VI. CONCLUSIONS**

The Building Three-Year reinspection has been completed and response actions have been recommended for both friable and non-friable ACBM. A timely execution of the response actions recommended will enable the LEA and the Designated Person(s) to effectively manage the existing ACBM.

This three-year reinspection report should be inserted in the current Management plan at the CPS Central Office, and one (1) copy should be kept at the school for use when planning any renovation and/or demolition activities in areas where ACBM has been identified. Also, periodic surveillance documentation and any summary reports from any response actions that are executed at the school should be used to update the Management Plan.

### **III. METHODOLOGY**

Tasks performed on-site included the following:

1. Review current management plan, identify HA(s) and extract appropriate information.
2. Examine and verify abatement records.
3. Touch and visually and physically reinspect and reassess the condition of all friable known or assumed ACBM.
4. Touch and visually and physically reinspect all non-friable known or assumed ACBM to determine whether these materials have become friable since the last inspection or periodic surveillance.
5. Identify any condition changes that may affect Hazard ranking of known ACBM or Assumed ACBM, as well as any HA(s) that have become friable since the last reinspection.
6. Collect bulk samples from each newly discovered friable HA or previously assumed and submit for laboratory analysis.
7. Tabulate reinspection findings and submit for management planner review and recommendations with appropriate response actions based on the AHERA Damage Category of the ACBM.
8. Submit reinspection findings and management planner recommendations to the LEA within thirty (30) days for inclusion into the management plan.

### **IV. ABATEMENT HISTORY**

The information collected from the existing management plan and inspection report(s) and from interviews with the school official revealed that the following asbestos abatement was conducted at the school since the previous Three year Reinspection.

Abatement Dates:

### **V. REASSESSMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

The reassessments and recommendations are summarized in Tables I and II on the following pages. Detailed Hazard Assessment Sheets and Drawings and Photos (if applicable) are included in Appendix A.

### **VI. CONCLUSIONS**

The Building Three-Year reinspection has been completed and response actions have been recommended for both friable and non-friable ACBM. A timely execution of the response actions recommended will enable the LEA and the Designated Person(s) to effectively manage the existing ACBM.

This three-year reinspection report should be inserted in the current Management plan at the CPS Central Office, and one (1) copy should be kept at the school for use when planning any renovation and/or demolition activities in areas where ACBM has been identified. Also, periodic surveillance documentation and any summary reports from any response actions that are executed at the school should be used to update the Management Plan.

### **III. METHODOLOGY**

Tasks performed on-site included the following:

1. Review current management plan, identify HA(s) and extract appropriate information.
2. Examine and verify abatement records.
3. Touch and visually and physically reinspect and reassess the condition of all friable known or assumed ACBM.
4. Touch and visually and physically reinspect all non-friable known or assumed ACBM to determine whether these materials have become friable since the last inspection or periodic surveillance.
5. Identify any condition changes that may affect Hazard ranking of known ACBM or Assumed ACBM, as well as any HA(s) that have become friable since the last reinspection.
6. Collect bulk samples from each newly discovered friable HA or previously assumed and submit for laboratory analysis.
7. Tabulate reinspection findings and submit for management planner review and recommendations with appropriate response actions based on the AHERA Damage Category of the ACBM.
8. Submit reinspection findings and management planner recommendations to the LEA within thirty (30) days for inclusion into the management plan.

### **IV. ABATEMENT HISTORY**

The information collected from the existing management plan and inspection report(s) and from interviews with the school official revealed that the following asbestos abatement was conducted at the school since the previous Three year Reinspection.

Abatement Dates:

### **V. REASSESSMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

The reassessments and recommendations are summarized in Tables I and II on the following pages. Detailed Hazard Assessment Sheets and Drawings and Photos (if applicable) are included in Appendix A.

### **VI. CONCLUSIONS**

The Building Three-Year reinspection has been completed and response actions have been recommended for both friable and non-friable ACBM. A timely execution of the response actions recommended will enable the LEA and the Designated Person(s) to effectively manage the existing ACBM.

This three-year reinspection report should be inserted in the current Management plan at the CPS Central Office, and one (1) copy should be kept at the school for use when planning any renovation and/or demolition activities in areas where ACBM has been identified. Also, periodic surveillance documentation and any summary reports from any response actions that are executed at the school should be used to update the Management Plan.

### **III. METHODOLOGY**

Tasks performed on-site included the following:

1. Review current management plan, identify HA(s) and extract appropriate information.
2. Examine and verify abatement records.
3. Touch and visually and physically reinspect and reassess the condition of all friable known or assumed ACBM.
4. Touch and visually and physically reinspect all non-friable known or assumed ACBM to determine whether these materials have become friable since the last inspection or periodic surveillance.
5. Identify any condition changes that may affect Hazard ranking of known ACBM or Assumed ACBM, as well as any HA(s) that have become friable since the last reinspection.
6. Collect bulk samples from each newly discovered friable HA or previously assumed and submit for laboratory analysis.
7. Tabulate reinspection findings and submit for management planner review and recommendations with appropriate response actions based on the AHERA Damage Category of the ACBM.
8. Submit reinspection findings and management planner recommendations to the LEA within thirty (30) days for inclusion into the management plan.

### **IV. ABATEMENT HISTORY**

The information collected from the existing management plan and inspection report(s) and from interviews with the school official revealed that the following asbestos abatement was conducted at the school since the previous Three year Reinspection.

Abatement Dates:

### **V. REASSESSMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

The reassessments and recommendations are summarized in Tables I and II on the following pages. Detailed Hazard Assessment Sheets and Drawings and Photos (if applicable) are included in Appendix A.

### **VI. CONCLUSIONS**

The Building Three-Year reinspection has been completed and response actions have been recommended for both friable and non-friable ACBM. A timely execution of the response actions recommended will enable the LEA and the Designated Person(s) to effectively manage the existing ACBM.

This three-year reinspection report should be inserted in the current Management plan at the CPS Central Office, and one (1) copy should be kept at the school for use when planning any renovation and/or demolition activities in areas where ACBM has been identified. Also, periodic surveillance documentation and any summary reports from any response actions that are executed at the school should be used to update the Management Plan.

### **III. METHODOLOGY**

Tasks performed on-site included the following:

1. Review current management plan, identify HA(s) and extract appropriate information.
2. Examine and verify abatement records.
3. Touch and visually and physically reinspect and reassess the condition of all friable known or assumed ACBM.
4. Touch and visually and physically reinspect all non-friable known or assumed ACBM to determine whether these materials have become friable since the last inspection or periodic surveillance.
5. Identify any condition changes that may affect Hazard ranking of known ACBM or Assumed ACBM, as well as any HA(s) that have become friable since the last reinspection.
6. Collect bulk samples from each newly discovered friable HA or previously assumed and submit for laboratory analysis.
7. Tabulate reinspection findings and submit for management planner review and recommendations with appropriate response actions based on the AHERA Damage Category of the ACBM.
8. Submit reinspection findings and management planner recommendations to the LEA within thirty (30) days for inclusion into the management plan.

### **IV. ABATEMENT HISTORY**

The information collected from the existing management plan and inspection report(s) and from interviews with the school official revealed that the following asbestos abatement was conducted at the school since the previous Three year Reinspection.

Abatement Dates:

### **V. REASSESSMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

The reassessments and recommendations are summarized in Tables I and II on the following pages. Detailed Hazard Assessment Sheets and Drawings and Photos (if applicable) are included in Appendix A.

### **VI. CONCLUSIONS**

The Building Three-Year reinspection has been completed and response actions have been recommended for both friable and non-friable ACBM. A timely execution of the response actions recommended will enable the LEA and the Designated Person(s) to effectively manage the existing ACBM.

This three-year reinspection report should be inserted in the current Management plan at the CPS Central Office, and one (1) copy should be kept at the school for use when planning any renovation and/or demolition activities in areas where ACBM has been identified. Also, periodic surveillance documentation and any summary reports from any response actions that are executed at the school should be used to update the Management Plan.

### **III. METHODOLOGY**

Tasks performed on-site included the following:

1. Review current management plan, identify HA(s) and extract appropriate information.
2. Examine and verify abatement records.
3. Touch and visually and physically reinspect and reassess the condition of all friable known or assumed ACBM.
4. Touch and visually and physically reinspect all non-friable known or assumed ACBM to determine whether these materials have become friable since the last inspection or periodic surveillance.
5. Identify any condition changes that may affect Hazard ranking of known ACBM or Assumed ACBM, as well as any HA(s) that have become friable since the last reinspection.
6. Collect bulk samples from each newly discovered friable HA or previously assumed and submit for laboratory analysis.
7. Tabulate reinspection findings and submit for management planner review and recommendations with appropriate response actions based on the AHERA Damage Category of the ACBM.
8. Submit reinspection findings and management planner recommendations to the LEA within thirty (30) days for inclusion into the management plan.

### **IV. ABATEMENT HISTORY**

The information collected from the existing management plan and inspection report(s) and from interviews with the school official revealed that the following asbestos abatement was conducted at the school since the previous Three year Reinspection.

Abatement Dates:

### **V. REASSESSMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

The reassessments and recommendations are summarized in Tables I and II on the following pages. Detailed Hazard Assessment Sheets and Drawings and Photos (if applicable) are included in Appendix A.

### **VI. CONCLUSIONS**

The Building Three-Year reinspection has been completed and response actions have been recommended for both friable and non-friable ACBM. A timely execution of the response actions recommended will enable the LEA and the Designated Person(s) to effectively manage the existing ACBM.

This three-year reinspection report should be inserted in the current Management plan at the CPS Central Office, and one (1) copy should be kept at the school for use when planning any renovation and/or demolition activities in areas where ACBM has been identified. Also, periodic surveillance documentation and any summary reports from any response actions that are executed at the school should be used to update the Management Plan.

### **III. METHODOLOGY**

Tasks performed on-site included the following:

1. Review current management plan, identify HA(s) and extract appropriate information.
2. Examine and verify abatement records.
3. Touch and visually and physically reinspect and reassess the condition of all friable known or assumed ACBM.
4. Touch and visually and physically reinspect all non-friable known or assumed ACBM to determine whether these materials have become friable since the last inspection or periodic surveillance.
5. Identify any condition changes that may affect Hazard ranking of known ACBM or Assumed ACBM, as well as any HA(s) that have become friable since the last reinspection.
6. Collect bulk samples from each newly discovered friable HA or previously assumed and submit for laboratory analysis.
7. Tabulate reinspection findings and submit for management planner review and recommendations with appropriate response actions based on the AHERA Damage Category of the ACBM.
8. Submit reinspection findings and management planner recommendations to the LEA within thirty (30) days for inclusion into the management plan.

### **IV. ABATEMENT HISTORY**

The information collected from the existing management plan and inspection report(s) and from interviews with the school official revealed that the following asbestos abatement was conducted at the school since the previous Three year Reinspection.

Abatement Dates:

### **V. REASSESSMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

The reassessments and recommendations are summarized in Tables I and II on the following pages. Detailed Hazard Assessment Sheets and Drawings and Photos (if applicable) are included in Appendix A.

### **VI. CONCLUSIONS**

The Building Three-Year reinspection has been completed and response actions have been recommended for both friable and non-friable ACBM. A timely execution of the response actions recommended will enable the LEA and the Designated Person(s) to effectively manage the existing ACBM.

This three-year reinspection report should be inserted in the current Management plan at the CPS Central Office, and one (1) copy should be kept at the school for use when planning any renovation and/or demolition activities in areas where ACBM has been identified. Also, periodic surveillance documentation and any summary reports from any response actions that are executed at the school should be used to update the Management Plan.

### **III. METHODOLOGY**

Tasks performed on-site included the following:

1. Review current management plan, identify HA(s) and extract appropriate information.
2. Examine and verify abatement records.
3. Touch and visually and physically reinspect and reassess the condition of all friable known or assumed ACBM.
4. Touch and visually and physically reinspect all non-friable known or assumed ACBM to determine whether these materials have become friable since the last inspection or periodic surveillance.
5. Identify any condition changes that may affect Hazard ranking of known ACBM or Assumed ACBM, as well as any HA(s) that have become friable since the last reinspection.
6. Collect bulk samples from each newly discovered friable HA or previously assumed and submit for laboratory analysis.
7. Tabulate reinspection findings and submit for management planner review and recommendations with appropriate response actions based on the AHERA Damage Category of the ACBM.
8. Submit reinspection findings and management planner recommendations to the LEA within thirty (30) days for inclusion into the management plan.

### **IV. ABATEMENT HISTORY**

The information collected from the existing management plan and inspection report(s) and from interviews with the school official revealed that the following asbestos abatement was conducted at the school since the previous Three year Reinspection.

Abatement Dates:

### **V. REASSESSMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

The reassessments and recommendations are summarized in Tables I and II on the following pages. Detailed Hazard Assessment Sheets and Drawings and Photos (if applicable) are included in Appendix A.

### **VI. CONCLUSIONS**

The Building Three-Year reinspection has been completed and response actions have been recommended for both friable and non-friable ACBM. A timely execution of the response actions recommended will enable the LEA and the Designated Person(s) to effectively manage the existing ACBM.

This three-year reinspection report should be inserted in the current Management plan at the CPS Central Office, and one (1) copy should be kept at the school for use when planning any renovation and/or demolition activities in areas where ACBM has been identified. Also, periodic surveillance documentation and any summary reports from any response actions that are executed at the school should be used to update the Management Plan.

### **III. METHODOLOGY**

Tasks performed on-site included the following:

1. Review current management plan, identify HA(s) and extract appropriate information.
2. Examine and verify abatement records.
3. Touch and visually and physically reinspect and reassess the condition of all friable known or assumed ACBM.
4. Touch and visually and physically reinspect all non-friable known or assumed ACBM to determine whether these materials have become friable since the last inspection or periodic surveillance.
5. Identify any condition changes that may affect Hazard ranking of known ACBM or Assumed ACBM, as well as any HA(s) that have become friable since the last reinspection.
6. Collect bulk samples from each newly discovered friable HA or previously assumed and submit for laboratory analysis.
7. Tabulate reinspection findings and submit for management planner review and recommendations with appropriate response actions based on the AHERA Damage Category of the ACBM.
8. Submit reinspection findings and management planner recommendations to the LEA within thirty (30) days for inclusion into the management plan.

### **IV. ABATEMENT HISTORY**

The information collected from the existing management plan and inspection report(s) and from interviews with the school official revealed that the following asbestos abatement was conducted at the school since the previous Three year Reinspection.

Abatement Dates:

### **V. REASSESSMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

The reassessments and recommendations are summarized in Tables I and II on the following pages. Detailed Hazard Assessment Sheets and Drawings and Photos (if applicable) are included in Appendix A.

### **VI. CONCLUSIONS**

The Building Three-Year reinspection has been completed and response actions have been recommended for both friable and non-friable ACBM. A timely execution of the response actions recommended will enable the LEA and the Designated Person(s) to effectively manage the existing ACBM.

This three-year reinspection report should be inserted in the current Management plan at the CPS Central Office, and one (1) copy should be kept at the school for use when planning any renovation and/or demolition activities in areas where ACBM has been identified. Also, periodic surveillance documentation and any summary reports from any response actions that are executed at the school should be used to update the Management Plan.

### **III. METHODOLOGY**

Tasks performed on-site included the following:

1. Review current management plan, identify HA(s) and extract appropriate information.
2. Examine and verify abatement records.
3. Touch and visually and physically reinspect and reassess the condition of all friable known or assumed ACBM.
4. Touch and visually and physically reinspect all non-friable known or assumed ACBM to determine whether these materials have become friable since the last inspection or periodic surveillance.
5. Identify any condition changes that may affect Hazard ranking of known ACBM or Assumed ACBM, as well as any HA(s) that have become friable since the last reinspection.
6. Collect bulk samples from each newly discovered friable HA or previously assumed and submit for laboratory analysis.
7. Tabulate reinspection findings and submit for management planner review and recommendations with appropriate response actions based on the AHERA Damage Category of the ACBM.
8. Submit reinspection findings and management planner recommendations to the LEA within thirty (30) days for inclusion into the management plan.

### **IV. ABATEMENT HISTORY**

The information collected from the existing management plan and inspection report(s) and from interviews with the school official revealed that the following asbestos abatement was conducted at the school since the previous Three year Reinspection.

Abatement Dates:

### **V. REASSESSMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

The reassessments and recommendations are summarized in Tables I and II on the following pages. Detailed Hazard Assessment Sheets and Drawings and Photos (if applicable) are included in Appendix A.

### **VI. CONCLUSIONS**

The Building Three-Year reinspection has been completed and response actions have been recommended for both friable and non-friable ACBM. A timely execution of the response actions recommended will enable the LEA and the Designated Person(s) to effectively manage the existing ACBM.

This three-year reinspection report should be inserted in the current Management plan at the CPS Central Office, and one (1) copy should be kept at the school for use when planning any renovation and/or demolition activities in areas where ACBM has been identified. Also, periodic surveillance documentation and any summary reports from any response actions that are executed at the school should be used to update the Management Plan.

### **III. METHODOLOGY**

Tasks performed on-site included the following:

1. Review current management plan, identify HA(s) and extract appropriate information.
2. Examine and verify abatement records.
3. Touch and visually and physically reinspect and reassess the condition of all friable known or assumed ACBM.
4. Touch and visually and physically reinspect all non-friable known or assumed ACBM to determine whether these materials have become friable since the last inspection or periodic surveillance.
5. Identify any condition changes that may affect Hazard ranking of known ACBM or Assumed ACBM, as well as any HA(s) that have become friable since the last reinspection.
6. Collect bulk samples from each newly discovered friable HA or previously assumed and submit for laboratory analysis.
7. Tabulate reinspection findings and submit for management planner review and recommendations with appropriate response actions based on the AHERA Damage Category of the ACBM.
8. Submit reinspection findings and management planner recommendations to the LEA within thirty (30) days for inclusion into the management plan.

### **IV. ABATEMENT HISTORY**

The information collected from the existing management plan and inspection report(s) and from interviews with the school official revealed that the following asbestos abatement was conducted at the school since the previous Three year Reinspection.

Abatement Dates:

### **V. REASSESSMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

The reassessments and recommendations are summarized in Tables I and II on the following pages. Detailed Hazard Assessment Sheets and Drawings and Photos (if applicable) are included in Appendix A.

### **VI. CONCLUSIONS**

The Building Three-Year reinspection has been completed and response actions have been recommended for both friable and non-friable ACBM. A timely execution of the response actions recommended will enable the LEA and the Designated Person(s) to effectively manage the existing ACBM.

This three-year reinspection report should be inserted in the current Management plan at the CPS Central Office, and one (1) copy should be kept at the school for use when planning any renovation and/or demolition activities in areas where ACBM has been identified. Also, periodic surveillance documentation and any summary reports from any response actions that are executed at the school should be used to update the Management Plan.

### **III. METHODOLOGY**

Tasks performed on-site included the following:

1. Review current management plan, identify HA(s) and extract appropriate information.
2. Examine and verify abatement records.
3. Touch and visually and physically reinspect and reassess the condition of all friable known or assumed ACBM.
4. Touch and visually and physically reinspect all non-friable known or assumed ACBM to determine whether these materials have become friable since the last inspection or periodic surveillance.
5. Identify any condition changes that may affect Hazard ranking of known ACBM or Assumed ACBM, as well as any HA(s) that have become friable since the last reinspection.
6. Collect bulk samples from each newly discovered friable HA or previously assumed and submit for laboratory analysis.
7. Tabulate reinspection findings and submit for management planner review and recommendations with appropriate response actions based on the AHERA Damage Category of the ACBM.
8. Submit reinspection findings and management planner recommendations to the LEA within thirty (30) days for inclusion into the management plan.

### **IV. ABATEMENT HISTORY**

The information collected from the existing management plan and inspection report(s) and from interviews with the school official revealed that the following asbestos abatement was conducted at the school since the previous Three year Reinspection.

Abatement Dates:

### **V. REASSESSMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

The reassessments and recommendations are summarized in Tables I and II on the following pages. Detailed Hazard Assessment Sheets and Drawings and Photos (if applicable) are included in Appendix A.

### **VI. CONCLUSIONS**

The Building Three-Year reinspection has been completed and response actions have been recommended for both friable and non-friable ACBM. A timely execution of the response actions recommended will enable the LEA and the Designated Person(s) to effectively manage the existing ACBM.

This three-year reinspection report should be inserted in the current Management plan at the CPS Central Office, and one (1) copy should be kept at the school for use when planning any renovation and/or demolition activities in areas where ACBM has been identified. Also, periodic surveillance documentation and any summary reports from any response actions that are executed at the school should be used to update the Management Plan.

**Table I**  
**Inspector's Reinspection Findings**

---

# Chicago Public Schools

**School Kilmer School Unit 24021 Building ID 4300**  
**Address 6700 N Greenview Avenue Region 01**

# ASBESTOS REINSPECTION FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

## Inspector's Reinspection Findings Table 1

**Managing Environmental Consultant (MEC) Specialty Consulting, Inc.**

2942 W. Van Buren Street Chicago, IL, 60612

Phone: (312) 319-7575 Fax: (312) 319-7580

***Inspector's Comments are Summarized at the End of the Report***

# Chicago Public Schools

School Kilmer School Unit 24021 Building ID 4300  
Address 6700 N Greenview Avenue Region 01

## ASBESTOS REINSPECTION FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

### Inspector's Reinspection Findings Table 1

Managing Environmental Consultant (MEC) Specialty Consulting, Inc.

2942 W. Van Buren Street Chicago, IL, 60612

Phone: (312) 319-7575 Fax: (312) 319-7580

*Inspector's Comments are Summarized at the End of the Report*

Reinspection Date 4/29/2025  
Inspector Name Esteban Nunez  
100-112555/15/2026  
Inspector's IDPH License Number / Expiration Date

#### Inspector's Comments

HA Number:	Inspector Comments:
115M	
115	
43	
44	
P	
DD	

**Table II**  
**Management Planner's Review**

---

# Chicago Public Schools

**School** Kilmer School

**Unit** 24021

**Building ID** 4300

**Address** 6700 N Greenview Avenue

Chicago, IL, 60626

**Region** 01

## ASBESTOS REINSPECTION FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

### Management Planner's Review Table II

**Managing Environmental Consultant (MEC)** Specialty Consulting, Inc.

2942 W. Van Buren Street  
Chicago, IL, 60612

Phone: (312) 319-7575      Fax: (312) 319-7580

### Management Planner's Comments Summarized at the End of the Report

HA Num	Material Description	Material Quantity	Material Units	Material Location	Asbestos Type	Material Category	Friable	Damage Quantity	Damage Units	Damage Category	Response
	2'x2' Smooth Ceiling Tile	800	SF	Room 109	Assumed	MISC	Yes	0	SF	6 ACBM with the potential for damage	Follow O&M Plan
	8"x4" Gray Wooden Finish Floor Tile	800	SF	Room 109	Assumed	MISC	No	0	SF	6 ACBM with the potential for damage	Follow O&M Plan
	8"x4" Gray Wooden Finish Floor Tile Mastic	800	SF	Room 109	Assumed	MISC	No	0	SF	6 ACBM with the potential for damage	Follow O&M Plan
	2'x4' Fissured Ceiling Tile	800	SF	Room 209	Assumed	MISC	Yes	0	SF	6 ACBM with the potential for damage	Follow O&M Plan
	Under-desk Coating	18	SF	Room 209, 217, 314	Assumed	MISC	No	0	SF	6 ACBM with the potential for damage	Follow O&M Plan
	12"x12" Blue w/ Blue Streaks Floor Tile	6,000	SF	Rooms 217B Storage, 217, 319, 214	Assumed	MISC	No	0	SF	6 ACBM with the potential for damage	Follow O&M Plan
	12"x12" Blue w/ Blue Streaks Tile Mastic	6,000	SF	Rooms 217B Storage, 217, 319, 214	Assumed	MISC	No	0	SF	6 ACBM with the potential for damage	Follow O&M Plan
	12"x12" Green Streaked Floor Tile	400	SF	Rooms 319, 214, and 217	Assumed	MISC	No	0	SF	6 ACBM with the potential for damage	Follow O&M Plan
	12"x12" Green Streaked Floor Tile	400	SF	Rooms 319, 214, 217	Assumed	MISC	No	0	SF	6 ACBM with the potential for damage	Follow O&M Plan
	12"x12" Beige Streaked Floor Tile	3,118	SF	Rooms 214, 217, 319, 315, 305	Assumed	MISC	No	0	SF	6 ACBM with the potential for damage	Follow O&M Plan
	12"x12" Beige Streaked Floor Tile Mastic	3,118	SF	Rooms 214, 217, 319, 315, and 305	Assumed	MISC	No	0	SF	6 ACBM with the potential for damage	Follow O&M Plan
	Beige Duct Sealant	40	SF	Mechanical Area	Assumed	MISC	No	0	SF	6 ACBM with the potential for damage	Follow O&M Plan
	Fire Stop Sealant	30	SF	Mechanical Area	Assumed	MISC	No	0	SF	6 ACBM with the potential for damage	Follow O&M Plan
	9"x9" Red Camo Floor	6,040	SF	2nd and 3rd Floor Corridor	Assumed	MISC	No	0	SF	6 ACBM with the potential for damage	Follow O&M Plan
	9"x9" Gray Camo Floor Tile	46	SF	2nd and 3rd Floor Corridors	Assumed	MISC	No	0	SF	6 ACBM with the potential for damage	Follow O&M Plan
	9"x9" Black Camo Floor Tile	72	SF	2nd and 3rd Floor Corridor	Assumed	MISC	No	0	SF	6 ACBM with the potential for damage	Follow O&M Plan
	12"x12" White w/ Blue Streaks Floor Tile	225	SF	2nd Floor Corridor, Staff Rest Room, and room 102	Assumed	MISC	No	0	SF	6 ACBM with the potential for damage	Follow O&M Plan
	12"x12" Beige w/ Tan Streaks Floor Tile	161	SF	Room 112, 314, and 3rd Floor Men's Restroom	Assumed	MISC	No	24	SF	6 ACBM with the potential for damage	Follow O&M Plan
	12"x12" Cream w/ Beige Streaks Floor Tile	101	SF	Rooms 315 and 314	Assumed	MISC	No	0	SF	6 ACBM with the potential for damage	Follow O&M Plan
	12"x12" Beige Streaks Floor Tile	1,643	SF	Rooms 308, 217, 315, 214 (Abated)	Assumed	MISC	No	0	SF	6 ACBM with the potential for damage	Follow O&M Plan
	12"x12" Beige Streaks Floor Tile Mastic	1,643	SF	Rooms 308, 217, 315, 214 (Abated)	Assumed	MISC	No	0	SF	6 ACBM with the potential for damage	Follow O&M Plan
	12"x12" Brown w/ White and Brown Streaks Floor Tile	37	SF	Room 315 and 3rd Floor Corridor	Assumed	MISC	No	0	SF	6 ACBM with the potential for damage	Follow O&M Plan
	12"x12" White w/ Beige Streaks Floor Tile	180	SF	2nd Floor Corridor	Assumed	MISC	No	0	SF	6 ACBM with the potential for damage	Follow O&M Plan
	12"x12" Green Streaked Floor Tile	300	SF	Rooms 214, 217, 319	Assumed	MISC	No	0	SF	6 ACBM with the potential for damage	Follow O&M Plan
	12"x12" Light Blue Streaked Floor Tile	360	SF	Rooms 214, 217 and 217B	Assumed	MISC	No	2	SF	6 ACBM with the potential for damage	Follow O&M Plan
	12"x12" Green w/ White Streaks VFT	800	SF	Rm 101, (305 not observed) - 1930	Assumed	MISC	No	0	SF	6 ACBM with the potential for damage	Follow O&M Plan
	9"x9" Black VFT	2,475	SF	2nd & 3rd floor corridors - 1930	Chrysotile	MISC	No	100	SF	6 ACBM with the potential for damage	Follow O&M Plan
	12"x12" Green w/ White Streaks VFT	800	SF	Rm 101, (305 not observed) - 1930	Assumed	MISC	No	0	SF	6 ACBM with the potential for damage	Follow O&M Plan
	9"x9" Black VFT Mastic	2,475	SF	2nd & 3rd floor corridors - 1930	Chrysotile	MISC	No	0	SF	6 ACBM with the potential for damage	Follow O&M Plan
	9"x9" Black VFT	1,125	SF	2nd & 3rd floor corridors - 1936	Chrysotile	MISC	No	100	SF	6 ACBM with the potential for damage	Follow O&M Plan
	9"x9" Black VFT Mastic	1,125	SF	2nd & 3rd floor corridors - 1936	Chrysotile	MISC	No	0	SF	6 ACBM with the potential for damage	Follow O&M Plan

# Chicago Public Schools

**School** Kilmer School

**Unit** 24021

**Building ID** 4300

**Address** 6700 N Greenview Avenue

Chicago, IL, 60626

**Region** 01

## ASBESTOS REINSPECTION FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

### Management Planner's Review Table II

**Managing Environmental Consultant (MEC)** Specialty Consulting, Inc.

2942 W. Van Buren Street  
Chicago, IL, 60612

Phone: (312) 319-7575      Fax: (312) 319-7580

### Management Planner's Comments Summarized at the End of the Report

HA Num	Material Description	Material Quantity	Material Units	Material Location	Asbestos Type	Material Category	Friable	Damage Quantity	Damage Units	Damage Category	Response
	12"x12" Light Brown w/ White/Black/Brown Spots VFT	750	SF	Room 112, 212 - 1936	Assumed	MISC	No	80	SF	6 ACBM with the potential for damage	Follow O&M Plan
	12"x12" Light Brown w/ White/Black/Brown Spots VFT Mastic	750	SF	Room 112, 212 - 1936	Chrysotile	MISC	No	0	SF	6 ACBM with the potential for damage	Follow O&M Plan
	12"x12" Gray w/ White & Brown Streaks VFT	1,592	SF	Rm 114, 115, Foyer Outside Rm 214 & 215 - 1958	Assumed	MISC	No	0	SF	6 ACBM with the potential for damage	Follow O&M Plan
	12"x12" Gray w/ White & Brown Streaks VFT Mastic	1,592	SF	Rm 114, 115, Foyer Outside Room 214 & 215 - 1958	Chrysotile	MISC	No	0	SF	6 ACBM with the potential for damage	Follow O&M Plan
	12"x12" Blue VFT	2,000	SF	1st & 2nd Fl Passages To Addition, Foyer Outside Rm 114 & 115 and 214 & 215, Rm 318 -1959	Assumed	MISC	No	0	SF	6 ACBM with the potential for damage	Follow O&M Plan
	12"x12" Blue VFT Mastic	2,000	SF	1st & 2nd Fl Passages To Addition, Foyer Outside Rm 114 & 115 and 214 & 215, Rm 318 -1959	Assumed	MISC	No	0	SF	6 ACBM with the potential for damage	Follow O&M Plan
	9"x9" Beige w/ Brown Streaks VFT	3,300	SF	Room 214, 215, 314, 315, 318, Foyer Outside 214 (Abated), 215, 314, 315 - 1958 Damage Location: Foyer Outside Rm 214 & 314, as well as rm 314	Chrysotile	MISC	No	10	SF	6 ACBM with the potential for damage	Follow O&M Plan
	9"x9" Beige w/ Brown Streaks VFT Mastic	3,300	SF	Room 214, 215, 314, 315, 318, Foyer Outside 214 (Abated), 215, 314, 315 - 1958	Chrysotile	MISC	No	0	SF	6 ACBM with the potential for damage	Follow O&M Plan
	12"x12" Black w/ Green & White Streaks VFT	800	SF	Room 101, (305 not observed) - 1930	Assumed	MISC	No	0	SF	6 ACBM with the potential for damage	Follow O&M Plan
	12"x12" Black w/ Green & White Streaks VFT Mastic	800	SF	Room 101, (305 not observed) - 1930	Assumed	MISC	No	0	SF	6 ACBM with the potential for damage	Follow O&M Plan
	9"x9" Red VFT	1,125	SF	2nd & 3rd floor corridors - 1936	Chrysotile	MISC	No	0	SF	6 ACBM with the potential for damage	Follow O&M Plan
	9"x9" Red VFT Mastic	1,125	SF	2nd & 3rd floor corridors - 1936	Chrysotile	MISC	No	0	SF	6 ACBM with the potential for damage	Follow O&M Plan
	9"x18" Black VFT	510	SF	2nd & 3rd floor corridors - 1936	Chrysotile	MISC	No	0	SF	6 ACBM with the potential for damage	Follow O&M Plan
	9"x18" Black VFT Mastic	510	SF	2nd & 3rd floor corridors - 1936	Chrysotile	MISC	No	0	SF	6 ACBM with the potential for damage	Follow O&M Plan
	12"x12" Orange w/ Brown Streaks VFT	2,052	SF	Assembly Hall - 1930	Chrysotile	MISC	No	5	SF	6 ACBM with the potential for damage	Follow O&M Plan
	12"x12" Orange w/ Brown Streaks VFT Mastic	2,052	SF	Assembly Hall - 1930	Chrysotile	MISC	No	0	SF	6 ACBM with the potential for damage	Follow O&M Plan
	12"x12" Light Gray w/ White Spots VFT	60	SF	Main Office Lobby - 1930	Assumed	MISC	No	0	SF	6 ACBM with the potential for damage	Follow O&M Plan
	12"x12" Light Gray w/ White Spots VFT Mastic	60	SF	Main Office Lobby - 1930	Chrysotile	MISC	No	0	SF	6 ACBM with the potential for damage	Follow O&M Plan
	12"x36" Black VFT	250	SF	Room 101, (305 not observed) - 1930	Assumed	MISC	No	0	SF	6 ACBM with the potential for damage	Follow O&M Plan

# Chicago Public Schools

**School** Kilmer School

**Unit** 24021

**Building ID** 4300

**Address** 6700 N Greenview Avenue

Chicago, IL, 60626

**Region** 01

## ASBESTOS REINSPECTION FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

### Management Planner's Review Table II

**Managing Environmental Consultant (MEC)** Specialty Consulting, Inc.

2942 W. Van Buren Street  
Chicago, IL, 60612

Phone: (312) 319-7575      Fax: (312) 319-7580

### Management Planner's Comments Summarized at the End of the Report

HA Num	Material Description	Material Quantity	Material Units	Material Location	Asbestos Type	Material Category	Friable	Damage Quantity	Damage Units	Damage Category	Response
	12"x36" Black VFT Mastic	250	SF	Room 101, ( 305 not observed) - 1930	Assumed	MISC	No	0	SF	6 ACBM with the potential for damage	Follow O&M Plan
	12"x12" Beige w/ Tan Spots VFT	800	SF	Room 102 - 1930 Building	Assumed	MISC	No	80	SF	6 ACBM with the potential for damage	Follow O&M Plan
	12"x12" Beige w/ Tan Spots VFT Mastic	800	SF	Room 102 - 1930	Assumed	MISC	No	0	SF	6 ACBM with the potential for damage	Follow O&M Plan
	9"x9" Red VFT	2,475	SF	2nd & 3rd Floor Corridors - 1930 Building	Chrysotile	MISC	No	150	SF	6 ACBM with the potential for damage	Follow O&M Plan
	9"x9" Red VFT Mastic - NOT OBSERVED	2,475	SF	(First floor not observed) through Third Floor Hallway - 1930	Chrysotile	MISC	No	0	SF	6 ACBM with the potential for damage	Follow O&M Plan
	9"x18" Black VFT - NOT OBSERVED	1,050	SF	(First floor not observed) through Third Floor Hallway - 1930	Chrysotile	MISC	No	0	SF	6 ACBM with the potential for damage	Follow O&M Plan
	9"x18" Black VFT Mastic - NOT OBSERVED	1,050	SF	(First floor not observed) through Third Floor Hallway - 1930	Chrysotile	MISC	No	0	SF	6 ACBM with the potential for damage	Follow O&M Plan
	9"x9" Green VFT NOT OBSERVED	4,950	SF	First through Third Floor Hallway (Under HA # 59 & 60, 32 & 34) - 1930	Chrysotile	MISC	No	0	SF	6 ACBM with the potential for damage	Follow O&M Plan
	9"x9" Green VFT Mastic NOT OBSERVED	4,950	SF	First through Third Floor Hallway (Under HA # 59 & 60, 32 & 34) - 1930	Chrysotile	MISC	No	0	SF	6 ACBM with the potential for damage	Follow O&M Plan
	9"x9" Green VFT NOT OBSERVED	2,250	SF	First through Third Floor Hallway (Under HA # 35 & 36, 47 & 48) - 1936	Chrysotile	MISC	No	0	SF	6 ACBM with the potential for damage	Follow O&M Plan
	9"x9" Green VFT Mastic NOT OBSERVED	2,250	SF	First through Third Floor Hallway (Under HA # 35 & 36, 47 & 48) - 1936	Chrysotile	MISC	No	0	SF	6 ACBM with the potential for damage	Follow O&M Plan
	Brown Linoleum - Abated		SF	Room 205 - 1930	Abated	MISC	No	0	SF	6 ACBM with the potential for damage	Follow O&M Plan
	Brown Linoleum Mastic - Abated		SF	Room 205 - 1930	Abated	MISC	No	0	SF	6 ACBM with the potential for damage	Follow O&M Plan
	12" X 12" Blue VFT	50,000	SF	Annex Rms 316, 317, 324 - 1997 Annex	Assumed	MISC	No	34	SF	6 ACBM with the potential for damage	Follow O&M Plan
	12" x 12" Blue VFT Mastic	50,000	SF	Throughout - 1997	Assumed	MISC	No	0	SF	6 ACBM with the potential for damage	Follow O&M Plan
	12"x 12" Yellow VFT	1,000	SF	Annex Rm 321	Assumed	MISC	No	0	SF	6 ACBM with the potential for damage	Follow O&M Plan
	12"x 12" Yellow VFT Mastic	1,000	SF	1st, 2nd, & 3rd Floor Corridors - 1997	Assumed	MISC	No	6	SF	6 ACBM with the potential for damage	Follow O&M Plan
	12" x 12" Black VFT	165	SF	1st, 2nd, & 3rd Floor Corridors - 1997	Assumed	MISC	No	0	SF	6 ACBM with the potential for damage	Follow O&M Plan
	12"x 12" Black VFT Mastic	165	SF	1st, 2nd, & 3rd Floor Corridors - 1997	Assumed	MISC	No	0	SF	6 ACBM with the potential for damage	Follow O&M Plan
	2' x 2' Ceiling Tile	60,000	SF	Throughout - 1997	Assumed	MISC	Yes	0	SF	6 ACBM with the potential for damage	Follow O&M Plan
	Vinyl Baseboard	2,500	LF	Throughout - 1997	Assumed	MISC	No	0	LF	6 ACBM with the potential for damage	Follow O&M Plan
	Vinyl Baseboard Mastic	2,500	LF	Throughout - 1997	Assumed	MISC	No	0	LF	6 ACBM with the potential for damage	Follow O&M Plan
	Spray-on Fireproofing	20,000	SF	Throughout - 1997	Assumed	SURFACE	Yes	0	SF	6 ACBM with the potential for damage	Follow O&M Plan
	Drywall	3,000	SF	3rd Fl Mens, Womens, Boys, & Girls Toilets,Rm 219 through 223, 117, 119,	Assumed	MISC	No	0	SF	6 ACBM with the potential for damage	Follow O&M Plan

# Chicago Public Schools

**School** Kilmer School

**Unit** 24021

**Building ID** 4300

**Address** 6700 N Greenview Avenue

Chicago, IL, 60626

**Region** 01

## ASBESTOS REINSPECTION FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

### Management Planner's Review Table II

**Managing Environmental Consultant (MEC)** Specialty Consulting, Inc.

2942 W. Van Buren Street  
Chicago, IL, 60612

Phone: (312) 319-7575      Fax: (312) 319-7580

### Management Planner's Comments Summarized at the End of the Report

HA Num	Material Description	Material Quantity	Material Units	Material Location	Asbestos Type	Material Category	Friable	Damage Quantity	Damage Units	Damage Category	Response
				Kitchen, 122, 120- 1997							
	Drywall Joint Compound	250	LF	3rd Fl Mens, Womens, Boys, & Girls Toilets, Rm 219 through 223, 117, 119, Kitchen, 122, 120- 1997	Assumed	MISC	No	0	LF	6 ACBM with the potential for damage	Follow O&M Plan
	Rubber Flooring	950	SF	1st Fl Corridor, NE Stairwell - 1997	Assumed	MISC	No	0	SF	6 ACBM with the potential for damage	Follow O&M Plan
	Rubber Flooring Mastic	950	SF	1st Fl Corridor, NE Stairwell - 1997	Assumed	MISC	No	0	SF	6 ACBM with the potential for damage	Follow O&M Plan
	Carpet Mastic	2,000	SF	Library, Library Office, NE & SE Main Office Storage Rooms - 1997	Assumed	MISC	No	0	SF	6 ACBM with the potential for damage	Follow O&M Plan
	Carpet Mastic	150	SF	Room 305 (1930 Bldg.)	Assumed	MISC	No	0	SF	6 ACBM with the potential for damage	Follow O&M Plan
	Carpet Mastic	100	SF	Room 318 (1959 Bldg.)	Assumed	MISC	No	0	SF	6 ACBM with the potential for damage	Follow O&M Plan
	Firedoors	6	EA	Balcony entrance, projector room auditorium	Assumed	MISC	No	0	EA	6 ACBM with the potential for damage	Follow O&M Plan
	Carpet MASTIC	1,600	SF	Room 207 & 209, 305 and along auditorium aisles	Assumed	MISC	No	0	SF	6 ACBM with the potential for damage	Follow O&M Plan
	Decorative plaster	1,000	SF	1000	Assumed	SURFACE	No	0	SF	6 ACBM with the potential for damage	Follow O&M Plan
	12"x12" ceiling tile	1,200	SF	auditorium	Assumed	MISC	Yes	0	SF	6 ACBM with the potential for damage	Follow O&M Plan
	12"x12" White w/ brown and green specks VFT	4,000	SF	1st fl. corridor - 1930 bldg	Assumed	MISC	No	54	SF	6 ACBM with the potential for damage	Follow O&M Plan
	12"x12" White w/ brown and green specks VFT MASTIC	4,000	SF	1st fl. corridor - 1930 bldg	Assumed	MISC	No	0	SF	6 ACBM with the potential for damage	Follow O&M Plan
	12"x12" Green VFT	65	SF	1st fl. hallway 1930	Assumed	MISC	No	0	SF	6 ACBM with the potential for damage	Follow O&M Plan
	12"x12" Green VFT MASTIC	65	SF	1st fl. hallway 1930	Assumed	MISC	No	0	SF	6 ACBM with the potential for damage	Follow O&M Plan
	12"x12" Yellow VFT	52	SF	1st fl. hallway 1930	Assumed	MISC	No	0	SF	6 ACBM with the potential for damage	Follow O&M Plan
	12"x12" Yellow VFT MASTIC	52	SF	1st fl. hallway 1930	Assumed	MISC	No	0	SF	6 ACBM with the potential for damage	Follow O&M Plan
	Terrazzo	1,500	SF	all stairwells and 1 foot along corridors - 1930	Assumed	MISC	No	0	SF	6 ACBM with the potential for damage	Follow O&M Plan
	Terrazzo (Lower Auditorium Wall)	1,500	SF	1936 bldg - all stairwells and 1 foot along corridors	Assumed	MISC	No	0	SF	6 ACBM with the potential for damage	Follow O&M Plan
	MJP			Steam heating unit in rooms 114, 115, 214, 215, 314, 315	Abated	MISC					
	Stage Curtain	500	SF	Auditoriums	Assumed	MISC	No	0	SF	6 ACBM with the potential for damage	Follow O&M Plan
	Transite Panel Behind Window Seat	85	SF	Rooms 107 and 109	Assumed	TSI	No	0	SF	6 ACBM with the potential for damage	Follow O&M Plan
	Plaster			1st Floor Girl's toilet	Assumed	MISC	No	0	SF	6 ACBM with the potential for damage	Follow O&M Plan
	6"x4' Brown Wooden Finish Floor	1,000	SF	Main office and 103 Storage Room	Assumed	MISC	No	0	SF	6 ACBM with the potential for damage	Follow O&M Plan
	6'4' Brown Wooden Finish Floor	1,000	SF	Main Office and 103 Storage Room	Assumed	MISC	No	0	SF	6 ACBM with the potential for damage	Follow O&M Plan

# Chicago Public Schools

**School** Kilmer School

**Unit** 24021

**Building ID** 4300

**Address** 6700 N Greenview Avenue

Chicago, IL, 60626

**Region** 01

## ASBESTOS REINSPECTION FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

### Management Planner's Review Table II

**Managing Environmental Consultant (MEC)** Specialty Consulting, Inc.

2942 W. Van Buren Street  
Chicago, IL, 60612

Phone: (312) 319-7575      Fax: (312) 319-7580

### Management Planner's Comments Summarized at the End of the Report

HA Num	Material Description	Material Quantity	Material Units	Material Location	Asbestos Type	Material Category	Friable	Damage Quantity	Damage Units	Damage Category	Response
	Aircell Pipe Insulation	200	LF	Crawl Space - 1958 building	Chrysotile	TSI	Yes	50	LF	1 Damaged or significantly damaged friable thermal system insulating ACBM	Repair
	Cardboard Pipe Insulation	50	LF	Pump Room - 1958 Building	Chrysotile	TSI	Yes	1	LF	1 Damaged or significantly damaged friable thermal system insulating ACBM	Repair
	MJP E/F/V on Aircell Pipe Insulation	30	FITTING	Crawl Space - 1958	Chrysotile	TSI	Yes	0	FITTING	6 ACBM with the potential for damage	Follow O&M Plan
	MJP E/F/V on Aircell Pipe Insulation	65	FITTING	Unfinished Pipe Space throughout - 1930 Building	Chrysotile	TSI	Yes	3	FITTING	1 Damaged or significantly damaged friable thermal system insulating ACBM	Repair
	MJP E/F/V on Cardboard Pipe Insulation	80	FITTING	Throughout - 1930	Chrysotile	TSI	Yes	0	FITTING	6 ACBM with the potential for damage	Follow O&M Plan
	MJP E/F/V on Fiberglass Pipe Insulation	20	LF	SE Storage Room, pump room - 1930 Building	Assumed	TSI	Yes	0	LF	6 ACBM with the potential for damage	Follow O&M Plan
	Tank Insulation	90	SF	Pump Room - 1930	Chrysotile	TSI	Yes	0	SF	6 ACBM with the potential for damage	Follow O&M Plan
	MJP E/F/V on Aircell Pipe Insulation	90	FITTING	Fan Room, East, West & Center Unfinished Pipe Spaces - 1936	Chrysotile	TSI	Yes	0	FITTING	6 ACBM with the potential for damage	Follow O&M Plan
	MJP E/F/V on Cardboard Pipe Insulation	35	FITTING	Fan Room, East, West & Center Unfinished Pipe Spaces - 1936	Chrysotile	TSI	Yes	0	FITTING	6 ACBM with the potential for damage	Follow O&M Plan
	MJP E/F/V on Fiberglass Pipe Insulation	80	FITTING	East & Center Unfinished Pipe Spaces - 1936	Assumed	TSI	Yes	0	FITTING	6 ACBM with the potential for damage	Follow O&M Plan
	MJP E/F/V on Cardboard Pipe Insulation	15	FITTING	Crawl Space - 1958	Chrysotile	TSI	Yes	0	FITTING	6 ACBM with the potential for damage	Follow O&M Plan
	Mag Block Pipe Insulation	375	LF	S. Book Storage, Area by S. Engine Room, N. Storage Area - 1930 Unfinished Pipe Space, Crawl Space	Chrysotile	TSI	Yes	13	LF	1 Damaged or significantly damaged friable thermal system insulating ACBM	Repair
	MJP E/F/V on Fiberglass Pipe Insulation	120	FITTING	Throughout Basement - 1958	Assumed	TSI	Yes	0	FITTING	6 ACBM with the potential for damage	Follow O&M Plan
	MJP E/F/V on Mag Block Pipe Insulation	40	FITTING	S. Book Storage, Area by S. Engine Room, N. Storage Area - 1930	Chrysotile	TSI	Yes	0	FITTING	6 ACBM with the potential for damage	Follow O&M Plan
	Cardboard Pipe Insulation	500	LF	Throughout - 1930	Chrysotile	TSI	Yes	0	LF	6 ACBM with the potential for damage	Follow O&M Plan
	Aircell Pipe Insulation	675	LF	Throughout, South Basement Hallway (By stairs), Pump Room, Unfinished Pipe Space (throughout North Section) - 1930	Chrysotile	TSI	Yes	35	LF	1 Damaged or significantly damaged friable thermal system insulating ACBM	Repair
	Aircell Duct Insulation	200	LF	Southeast Storage Room - 1930	Chrysotile	TSI	Yes	0	LF	6 ACBM with the potential for damage	Follow O&M Plan
	MJP E/F/V on Mag Block Pipe Insulation	35	FITTING	Fan Room, East & Center Unfinished Pipe Spaces - 1936	Chrysotile	TSI	Yes	0	FITTING	6 ACBM with the potential for damage	Follow O&M Plan
	Mag Block Pipe Insulation	180	LF	Fan Room, East & Center Unfinished Pipe Spaces - 1936	Chrysotile	TSI	Yes	0	LF	6 ACBM with the potential for damage	Follow O&M Plan

# Chicago Public Schools

**School** Kilmer School

**Unit** 24021

**Building ID** 4300

**Address** 6700 N Greenview Avenue

Chicago, IL, 60626

**Region** 01

## ASBESTOS REINSPECTION FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

### Management Planner's Review Table II

**Managing Environmental Consultant (MEC)** Specialty Consulting, Inc.

2942 W. Van Buren Street  
Chicago, IL, 60612

Phone: (312) 319-7575      Fax: (312) 319-7580

### Management Planner's Comments Summarized at the End of the Report

HA Num	Material Description	Material Quantity	Material Units	Material Location	Asbestos Type	Material Category	Friable	Damage Quantity	Damage Units	Damage Category	Response
	Aircell Pipe Insulation	600	LF	Fan Room, East, West & Center Unfinished Pipe Spaces - 1936	Chrysotile	TSI	Yes	0	LF	6 ACBM with the potential for damage	Follow O&M Plan
	Cardboard Pipe Insulation	250	LF	Fan Room, East, West & Center Unfinished Pipe Spaces - 1936	Chrysotile	TSI	Yes	0	LF	6 ACBM with the potential for damage	Follow O&M Plan

# Chicago Public Schools

**School** Kilmer School

**Unit** 24021

**Building ID** 4300

**Address** 6700 N Greenview Avenue

Chicago, IL, 60626

**Region** 01

## ASBESTOS REINSPECTION FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

### Management Planner's Review Table II

**Managing Environmental Consultant (MEC)** Specialty Consulting, Inc.

2942 W. Van Buren Street  
Chicago, IL, 60612

Phone: (312) 319-7575      Fax: (312) 319-7580

### *Management Planner's Comments Summarized at the End of the Report*

Review Date	05/21/2025
Manager Planner Name	Arturo Saenz
100-04019	5/15/2026
Manager IDPH License No/Expiration	

HA Number	Management Comments
	Repair Damaged ACM, Maintain in Good Condition
	Repair Damaged ACM, maintain in Good condition

## APPENDIX A

---

### Assessment Sheets, Drawings and Photos

# Chicago Public Schools

Specialty Consulting, Inc.  
2025 AHERA REINSPECTION

## Inspector Assessment Form (REASSESSMENT)

LEA NAME: **Chicago Public Schools** UNIT NUMBER:  BUIDLING ID:   
CITY/STATE: **Chicago, Illinois** AHERA INSPECTOR:   
SCHOOL NAME:  INSPECTION DATE:   
ADDRESS:  IDPH LICENSE NO:

---

## INFORMATION FROM PREVIOUS INSPECTION

HOMOGENEOUS AREA:

MATERIAL DESCRIPTION:

HISTORICAL AHERA DAMAGE CATEGORY **ACBM with the potential for damage**

HISTORICAL DAMAGE REASON: **Deterioration**

HISTORICAL RESPONSE ACTION: **Remove**

ASBESTOS TYPE:  FRIABLE:

---

## RESULTS OF REINSPECTION AND REASSESSMENT

This homogeneous area was reinspected and reassessed in accordance with Section 763.85 and 763.88 of AHERA and it's condition HAS NOT CHANGED when compared to the conditions of the last AHERA reinspection.

The current AHERA DAMAGE CATEGORY is determined to be . **ACBM with the potential for damage**

DAMAGE REASON: **Deterioration**

DISTURBANCE POTENTIAL:

MATERIAL LOCATION:

MATERIAL QUANTITY:  MATERIAL UNITS:

DAMAGE QUANTITY:  DAMAGE UNITS:

COMMENTS:

Inspector's Signature:

Date: **04/29/2025**

# Chicago Public Schools

Specialty Consulting, Inc.  
**2025 AHERA REINSPECTION**

## Management Planner Review Form

LEA NAME: **Chicago Public Schools** UNIT NUMBER:  BUIDLING ID:

CITY/STATE: **Chicago, Illinois** MANAGEMENT PLANNER:

SCHOOL NAME:  REVIEW DATE:

ADDRESS:  IDPH LICENSE NO:

---

HOMOGENEOUS AREA:

MATERIAL DESCRIPTION:

MATERIAL LOCATION:

MATERIAL QUANTITY:  MATERIAL UNITS:

DAMAGE QUANTITY:  DAMAGE UNITS:

---

In accordance with Sections 763.88 and 763.90 of the Asbestos Hazard Emergency Response Act (AHERA) the LEA must select a management planner to review the results of the inspection and assessment and recommend appropriate response actions. The original inspection of the above identified homogeneous area has been reviewed in accordance with Sections 763.88 and 763.90 with the following recommendations.

The RESPONSE ACTION recommendation is:

**Remove**

Comments:

Management Planner's Signature: 

Date: 05/21/2025

# Chicago Public Schools

Specialty Consulting, Inc.  
2025 AHERA REINSPECTION

## Inspector Assessment Form (New Homogeneous Area)

LEA NAME: **Chicago Public Schools** UNIT NUMBER:  BUIDLING ID:   
CITY/STATE: **Chicago, Illinois** AHERA INSPECTOR:   
SCHOOL NAME:  INSPECTION DATE:   
ADDRESS:  IDPH LICENSE NO:

---

## INFORMATION FROM CURRENT INSPECTION

HOMOGENEOUS AREA:

MATERIAL DESCRIPTION:

MATERIAL LOCATION:

MATERIAL QUANTITY:  MATERIAL UNITS:

MATERIAL CATEGORY:  FRIABLE:

ASBESTOS TYPE:

DISTURBANCE POTENTIAL:  CONDITION: **No Damage**

AHERA DAMAGE CATEGORY: **ACBM with the potential for damage**

ACCESSIBILITY: **Within Reach** DAMAGE REASON:   
DAMAGE REASON:   
DAMAGE REASON:   
DAMAGE UNITS:

COMMENTS:

Inspector's Signature: 

Date: **04/29/2025**

# Chicago Public Schools

Specialty Consulting, Inc.  
2025 AHERA REINSPECTION

## Management Planner Review Form

LEA NAME: **Chicago Public Schools** UNIT NUMBER:  BUIDLING ID:

CITY/STATE: **Chicago, Illinois** MANAGEMENT PLANNER:

SCHOOL NAME:  REVIEW DATE:

ADDRESS:  IDPH LICENSE NO:

HOMOGENEOUS AREA:

MATERIAL DESCRIPTION:

MATERIAL LOCATION:

MATERIAL QUANTITY:  MATERIAL UNITS:

DAMAGE QUANTITY:  DAMAGE UNITS:

---

In accordance with Sections 763.88 and 763.90 of the Asbestos Hazard Emergency Response Act (AHERA) the LEA must select a management planner to review the results of the inspection and assessment and recommend appropriate response actions. The original inspection of the above identified homogeneous area has been reviewed in accordance with Sections 763.88 and 763.90 with the following recommendations.

The RESPONSE ACTION recommendation is:

**Remove**

COMMENTS:

Management Planner's Signature: 

Date: **05/21/2025**

## APPENDIX B

---

### Inspector and Management Planner Licenses



























































































































































































































































































































































































































































































## APPENDIX C

---

### **Laboratory Accreditations**

## APPENDIX D

---

### Laboratory Results

## APPENDIX E

---

### Chain of Custody Forms

## Three-Year Reinspection Key to Terms

	CODE	KEY
<b>MATERIAL</b>	ACBM	Asbestos Containing Building Materials
<b>MATERIAL CATEGORY</b>	MISC	Miscellaneous
	SURF	Surfacing
	TSI	Thermal System Insulation
<b>MATERIAL UNITS</b>	LF	Linear Feet
	SF	Square Feet
	CF	Cubic Feet
<b>DAMAGE TYPE</b>	Loc	Localized
	Dist	Distributed